

1904 Third Avenue, Suite 105 Seattle, Washington 98101 www.pscleanair.org

2012

Air Quality Data Summary

SEPTEMBER 2013

Working Together for Clean Air



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Maps	iii
List of Tables	iii
Appendix – Data Tables	iii
Executive Summary	
Monitoring Network	
Air Quality Index	6
Particulate Matter	11
Particulate Matter – PM _{2.5} Speciation and Aethalometers	20
Ozone	
Nitrogen Dioxide	
Carbon Monoxide	
Sulfur Dioxide	30
Lead	32
Visibility	33
Air Toxics	37
Definitions	50



List of Figures

Figure 1: Number of Days Air Quality Rated As "Good" Per AQI	8
Figure 2: Air Quality for King County	9
Figure 3: Air Quality for Kitsap County	9
Figure 4: Air Quality for Pierce County	. 10
Figure 5: Air Quality for Snohomish County	. 10
Figure 6: Daily PM _{2.5} for King County	. 15
Figure 7: Daily PM _{2.5} for Kitsap County	. 15
Figure 8: Daily PM _{2.5} for Pierce County	. 16
Figure 9: Daily PM _{2.5} for Snohomish County	. 16
Figure 10: Annual PM _{2.5} for King County	. 17
Figure 11: Annual PM _{2.5} for Kitsap County	. 18
Figure 12: Annual PM _{2.5} for Pierce County	. 18
Figure 13: Annual PM _{2.5} for Snohomish County	. 19
Figure 14: Annual PM _{2.5} Black Carbon	. 21
Figure 15: Ozone for Puget Sound Region	
Figure 16: Ozone (O ₃) for Puget Sound Region May-September 2012	. 25
Figure 17: Annual Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO _y – NO) (2007-201	.2)
	. 27
Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive	
Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO _y – NO) (2007-2012)	. 27
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region 	. 27
Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO _y – NO) (2007-2012)	. 27
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region 	. 27 . 29
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th 	. 27 . 29 . 31
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region 	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region Figure 21: Puget Sound Visibility Figure 22: King County Visibility Figure 23: Kitsap County Visibility 	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 34 . 35
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region Figure 21: Puget Sound Visibility Figure 22: King County Visibility 	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 34 . 35
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region Figure 21: Puget Sound Visibility Figure 22: King County Visibility Figure 23: Kitsap County Visibility 	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 34 . 35 . 35
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region Figure 21: Puget Sound Visibility Figure 22: King County Visibility Figure 23: Kitsap County Visibility Figure 24: Pierce County Visibility 	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 36
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region Figure 21: Puget Sound Visibility Figure 22: King County Visibility Figure 23: Kitsap County Visibility Figure 24: Pierce County Visibility Figure 25: Snohomish County Visibility 	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 36 . 40
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region Figure 21: Puget Sound Visibility Figure 22: King County Visibility Figure 23: Kitsap County Visibility Figure 24: Pierce County Visibility Figure 25: Snohomish County Visibility Figure 26: Carbon Tetrachloride Annual Average Concentrations at Beacon Hill, 2000-2012 	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 36 . 40 . 41
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region Figure 21: Puget Sound Visibility	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 36 . 40 . 41 . 42
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012) Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region Figure 21: Puget Sound Visibility	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 36 . 40 . 41 . 42 . 43
 Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-2012)	. 27 . 29 . 31 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 36 . 40 . 41 . 42 . 43 . 44 . 45



List of Maps

Map 1:	Active Air Monitoring Network for 2012	3
Map 2:	The 98 th Percentile 3-Year Average Daily PM _{2.5} Concentrations for 2012	13
Map 3:	Ozone 3-year Average of 4 th Highest 8-hr Value for 2012	23

List of Tables

Table 1:	Air Quality Monitoring Network	4
Table 2:	AQI Ratings for 2012	7
Table 3:	2012 Beacon Hill Air Toxics Ranking	38
Table 4:	2012 Calculation and Breakpoints for the Air Quality Index (AQI)	50

Appendix – Data Tables

Air Quality Index King County (1980-2012)	A-1
Air Quality Index Kitsap County (1990-2012)	A-2
Air Quality Index Pierce County (1980-2012)	A-3
Air Quality Index Snohomish County (1980-2012)	A-4
Monitoring Methods Used from 1999 to 2012 in the Puget Sound Air shed	A-5
Historical Air Quality Monitoring Network	A-6
Burn Bans 1988-2012	A-10
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) – Federal Reference Sampling Method	A-11
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) – Continuous TEOM Sampling Method	A-12
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) – Continuous Nephelometer Sampling Method	
PM _{2.5} Speciation Analytes Monitored in 2012	A-14
PM _{2.5} Black Carbon	A-15
Ozone (8-hour concentration)	
Reactive Nitrogen	A-17
Carbon Monoxide	A-18
Sulfur Dioxide	A-19
2012 Beacon Hill Air Toxics Statistical Summary for Air Toxic Gases	A-20
2012 Beacon Hill Air Toxics Statistical Summary for PM ₁₀ Metals	A-20
2012 Air Toxics Unit Risk Factors	
2012 Beacon Hill Potential Cancer Risk Estimates, per 1,000,000, 95 th Percentile	A-22
Non-cancer Reference Concentrations (RfC) and Hazard Indices >1	A-23
Air Quality Standards and Health Goals	A-24



The 2012 Air Quality Data Summary is available for viewing or download on the internet at:

www.pscleanair.org

Links to additional documents for download are also available at the web site.



This material is available in alternate formats for people with disabilities. Please call Joanna Cruse at (206) 689-4067 (1-800-552-3565, ext. 4067).



Executive Summary

The Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (the Agency) reports air quality data every year. The purpose is to summarize regional air quality by presenting air quality monitoring results for six criteria air pollutants and air toxics. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for the criteria pollutants. The criteria pollutants are:

- Particulate Matter (particles 10 micrometers and 2.5 micrometers in diameter)
- Ozone
- Nitrogen Dioxide
- Carbon Monoxide
- Sulfur Dioxide
- Lead

The Air Quality Index (AQI) is a nationwide reporting standard for the criteria pollutants. The AQI is used to relate air quality levels to health effects. Most days are "Good" AQI days. However, air quality degrades into "moderate" or "unhealthy for sensitive groups" for brief periods.

Air toxics are defined by Washington State and the Agency to include hundreds of chemicals and compounds that are associated with a broad range of adverse health effects, including cancer.¹ Many air toxics are a component of either particulate matter or volatile organic compounds (a precursor to ozone).

The Agency and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) work together to monitor air quality within the Puget Sound region.² The Agency's jurisdiction includes King, Snohomish, Pierce, and Kitsap counties. Real-time air monitoring data are available for pollutants at <u>pscleanair.org/airq/aqi.aspx</u>. To find more extensive air quality data, educational materials and discussions of current topics, visit the Agency's website at <u>pscleanair.org/default.aspx</u>. Wind roses, air quality graphing tools and historical data summaries are available at <u>pscleanair.org/airq/reports.aspx</u>. To receive the Agency's most updated news and stay current on air quality issues in King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish Counties, visit <u>pscleanair.org/news/agencynews.aspx</u> and select your favorite news feed method. Friends and subscribers receive the latest on air quality news and updates on projects in the Puget Sound region. You can also find us on Facebook and Twitter.

The Agency and Ecology continued to monitor the region's air quality in 2012. Over the last two decades, many pollutant levels have declined and air quality has improved.

Elevated fine particle levels pose the greatest air quality challenge in our jurisdiction. Of the six criteria air pollutants monitored in the Puget Sound area, PM_{2.5} is associated with the most serious health effects. Achieving significant reductions in particulate matter is a top priority of the Agency. Exposure

¹Washington Administrative Code 173-460. See Table of Toxic Air Pollutants, WAC 173-425-150. <u>apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-460-150</u>

²The Agency's jurisdiction covers King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties in Washington State.



to PM_{2.5} is linked with respiratory disease, decreased lung function, asthma attacks, heart attacks and premature death. Children, older adults and people with respiratory illnesses are especially at risk. Further, some types of particulate matter are air toxics. For example, exposure to particulate matter from diesel exhaust is associated with increased risk of cancer. Fine particles are also responsible for reducing visibility in the beautiful Puget Sound region.

We have one area that is not in attainment with the EPA's health-based daily fine particle standard of 35 micrograms per cubic meter: the Tacoma-Pierce County nonattainment area, comprised of most of Tacoma and surrounding Pierce County communities. Data in this summary show continued improvement in fine particle levels there.

Monitoring sites in three of four counties (King, Pierce and Snohomish) continued to exceed the Agency's more stringent local PM_{2.5} health goal of 25 micrograms per cubic meter. In 2012, our Kitsap County monitor met the Agency's local PM_{2.5} health goal.

Ozone levels remain a concern in our region. Over the last decade, ozone concentrations have not decreased as significantly as other pollutants. The Enumclaw Mud Mountain monitor has the highest regional ozone concentrations.

Air toxics were present in our air at levels that posed adverse health effects. These health effects include, but are not limited to, increased cancer risk and respiratory effects.

The Agency's jurisdiction is currently in attainment for carbon monoxide, ozone, lead, sulfur dioxide, and PM_{10} .

The Agency issues burn bans to prevent burning when air inversions trap fine particle pollution emitted from our chimneys. We have two stages of burn bans. Stage 1 prohibits burning from fireplaces and uncertified wood stoves except when the wood-burning device is the only adequate source of heat. Stage 2 prohibits burning in fireplaces, uncertified wood stoves, EPA certified wood stoves, and pellet stoves unless the wood-burning device is the only adequate source of heat.

The Agency issued five burn bans in 2012: Jan 11-14, Jan 27-28, Feb 3-6, Nov 25-28, and Dec 29-Jan 3 2013.

Many of the same emission sources that produce criteria and toxic air pollutants also generate greenhouse gases. The Agency works with public and private partners to reduce greenhouse gases³. For more information, see <u>pscleanair.org/programs/climate/default.aspx</u>.


³Roadmap for Climate Change: Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Puget Sound; pscleanair.org/programs/climate/rptfin.pdf.



Monitoring Network

The Agency and Ecology operated the Puget Sound region's monitoring network in 2012. The network is comprised of meteorological, pollutant-specific equipment, and equipment for special studies. Data from the network are normally collected automatically via the Ecology data network, or in some cases, collected manually by field staff. Monitoring stations are located in a variety of geographic locations in the Puget Sound region. Monitors are sited according to EPA criteria to ensure a consistent and representative picture of air quality.

King, Pierce, Snohomish, and Kitsap County monitoring sites used in 2012 are shown in Map 1 and Table 1, Monitoring Network for 2012. A more interactive map is available at http://www.pscleanair.org/airq/network/default.aspx.



Map 1: Active Air Quality Monitoring Station Locations 2012

Two Ozone sites (FG & FH) located in Mount Rainier National Park are not shown on this map.



2012 Air Quality Data Summary

Table 1: Air Quality Monitoring Network Parameters 2012

Station ID	Location	PM ₂ .	PM _{2.}	PM _{2.}	PM 2.	PM _{2.}	O ₃	SO ₂	ΝΟγ	со	b _{sp}	Wind	Temp	АТ	Vsby	Location
AQ	Queen Anne Hill, 400 W Garfield St, Seattle (photo/visibility included)				•						•	•	•		•	a, d, f
AZ	Olive Way & Boren Ave, 1624 Boren Ave, Seattle				•						•	•	•		•	a, d
BW 🖲	Beacon Hill, 4103 Beacon Ave S, Seattle	•	•	•			•	•	•	•		•	•	•		b, d, f
CE	Duwamish, 4401 E Marginal Way S, Seattle		•	•	•	•					•	•	•		•	a, e
CW	James St & Central Ave, Kent			•	•	•					•	•	•		•	b, d
DB	17171 Bothell Way NE, Lake Forest Park				•						•	•			•	b, d, f
DC ()	305 Bellevue Way NE, Bellevue				•						•				•	a, d
DD	South Park, 8201 10 th Ave S, Seattle				•						•				•	b, e, f
DF 🖲	30525 SE Mud Mountain Road, Enumclaw						•					•	•			с
DG 🖲	42404 SE North Bend Way, North Bend				•		•				•	•	•		•	c, d, f
DN 🖲	20050 SE 56 th , Lake Sammamish State Park, Issaquah						•									b, d
EQ	Tacoma Tideflats, 2301 Alexander Ave, Tacoma		•		•	•					•	•			•	a, e
ER	South Hill, 9616 128 th St E, Puyallup				•	•					•	•	•		•	b, f
ES	7802 South L St, Tacoma	•	•	•	•	•					•	•	•		•	b, f
FF 🖲	Tacoma Indian Hill, 5225 Tower Drive NE, northeast Tacoma											•	•			b, f
FG ●	Mt Rainier National Park, Jackson Visitor Center						•									с
IG	Marysville JHS, 1605 7 th St, Marysville	•	•	•	•	•					•	•	•		•	b, d
II	6120 212 th St SW, Lynnwood			•	•						•	•	•		•	b, d
JO	Darrington High School, Darrington 1085 Fir St	•		•	•						•	•	●		•	d, f
QE	Meadowdale, 7252 Blackbird Dr NE, Bremerton			•	•						•	•	●		•	b, f
QK	Spruce, 3250 Spruce Ave, Bremerton			•	•						•	•	•		•	b, f



			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
۲	Station operated by Ecology	SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
•	Indicates parameter currently monitored	NOy	Nitrogen Oxides
PM _{2.5} ref	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers (reference)	СО	Carbon Monoxide
PM _{2.5} Spec	Speciation	b _{sp}	Light scattering by atmospheric particles (nephelometer)
PM _{2.5} FEM	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers (teom-fdms continuous)	Wind	Wind direction and speed
PM _{2.5} Is	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers (light scattering nephelometer continuous)	Temp	Air temperature (relative humidity also measured at BW)
PM _{2.5} bc	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers black carbon (light absorption aethalometer)	AT	Air Toxics
O ₃	Ozone (May through September except Beacon Hill and Mt Rainier)	VSBY	Visual range (light scattering by atmospheric particles)
Location		РНОТО	Visibility (camera)
а	Urban Center		
b	Suburban		
с	Rural		
d	Commercial		
е	Industrial		
f	Residential		

The Agency conducted monitoring as early as 1965. A summary of the monitoring stations and parameters used over the history of the program is on page A-6 of the Appendix. The network changes periodically because the Agency and Ecology regularly re-evaluate monitoring objectives, resources and logistics.

A list of the methods used for monitoring the criteria pollutants is shown on page A-5 of the Appendix. Additional information on these methods is available at EPA's website at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/. Information on air toxics monitoring methods is available at epa.gov/ttn/amtic/. Information on air toxics monitoring methods is available at epa.gov/ttn/amtic/. Information on air toxics monitoring methods is available at epa.gov/ttn/amtic/.



Air Quality Index

EPA established the air quality index (AQI) as an index for reporting daily air quality. It tells you how clean or polluted your air is and what associated health effects might be a concern for you. The AQI focuses on health effects that you may experience within a few hours or days after breathing polluted air. EPA calculates the AQI for five major air pollutants regulated by the Clean Air Act: ground-level ozone, particle pollution (also known as particulate matter), carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide and nitrogen dioxide.

Think of the AQI as a yardstick that runs from 0 to 500. As the AQI increases, the level of air pollution and the health concern increases. An AQI value of 100 generally corresponds to the national air quality standard for the pollutant, which is the level EPA has set to protect public health. AQI values below 100 are generally thought of as satisfactory. When AQI values are above 100, air quality is considered unhealthy first for certain sensitive groups of people, then for everyone as AQI values get higher.

The purpose of the AQI is to help people understand what local air quality means to health. To make it easier to understand, the AQI is divided into six categories:

Air Quality Index (AQI) Values	Levels of Health Concern	Colors
When the AQI is:	air quality condition is:	look for this color:
0 – 50	Good	Green
51 – 100	Moderate	Yellow
101 – 150	Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups	Orange
151 – 200	Unhealthy	Red
201 – 300	Very Unhealthy	Purple
301 - 500	Hazardous	Maroon

GOOD AQI is 0 – 50: Air pollution poses little or no risk.

MODERATE AQI is 51 - 100: Air quality is acceptable; however, for some pollutants there may be a moderate health concern for a very small number of people. For example, people who are unusually sensitive to ozone may experience respiratory symptoms.



UNHEALTHY FOR SENSITIVE GROUPS AQI is 101 – 150: Although the general public is not likely to be affected at this AQI range, people with lung disease, older adults and children are at a greater risk from exposure to ozone, whereas persons with heart and lung disease, older adults and children are at greater risk from the presence of particles in the air.

UNHEALTHY AQI is 151 – 200: Everyone may begin to experience some adverse health effects, and members of the sensitive groups may experience more serious effects.

VERY UNHEALTHY AQI is 201 – 300: This would trigger a health alert signifying that everyone may experience more serious health effects.

HAZARDOUS is AQI greater than 300: This would trigger a health warning of emergency conditions. The entire population is more likely to be affected.

Table 2 shows the AQI breakdown by percentage in each category for 2012. Snohomish County registered the highest daily AQI value of 156 on July 4, which was PM_{2.5}. PM_{2.5} normally determines the AQI in the Puget Sound area on days considered unhealthy for sensitive groups.


	AQI Rating (% of year)								
County	Good	Moderate	Unhealthy for Moderate Sensitive Groups Unhealthy						
Snohomish	88%	12%	0%	0%	156				
King	86%	13%	1%	0%	116				
Pierce	88%	11%	1%	0%	144				
Kitsap	97%	3%	0%	0%	68				

Table 2: AQI Ratings for 2012

The Agency participates in a forecasting and a real time AQI reporting website. National information can be found at the Air Now page here: <u>airnow.gov/index.cfm?action=airnow.main</u>. Local information can be found at the Current Air Quality link at our home page here: <u>pscleanair.org/airq/aqi.aspx</u>.

Further, we have tracked how many days each year our data indicated in each AQI category, as summarized by the following charts by county. Most days in the Puget Sound region are in the "Good" category, but the local meteorological conditions, along with polluting sources cause levels to rise into "Moderate" or above.








Figure 1 (above) shows the number of days that the AQI fell into the Good category for each of the four counties of our jurisdiction.

Figures 2 through 5 present number of AQI days that were not "good" for King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties.

Graphs include numbers adjacent to the "unhealthy for sensitive groups" and "unhealthy" lines for clarification of the number of days with these designations.

Pages A-1 through A-4 of the Appendix present summaries for each county.

Summaries include "good", "moderate", "unhealthy for sensitive groups", and "unhealthy" days from 1990 to 2012.



Figure 2: Air Quality for King County




Figure 3: Air Quality for Kitsap County






Figure 4: Air Quality for Pierce County





Figure 5: Air Quality for Snohomish County





Particulate Matter

"Particulate matter," also known as particle pollution or PM, is a complex mixture of extremely small particles and liquid droplets. Particle pollution consists of a number of components, including acids (such as nitrates and sulfates), organic chemicals, metals, and soil or dust particles.

EPA groups particle pollution into two categories. "Inhalable coarse particles," such as those found near roadways and dusty industries, are larger than 2.5 micrometers and smaller than 10 micrometers in diameter. "Fine particles," such as those found in smoke and haze, are 2.5 micrometers in diameter and smaller.

PM_{10}

The Agency ceased all PM_{10} monitoring in 2006 and focused its efforts on $PM_{2.5}$ monitoring. For a historic look at Puget Sound area PM_{10} levels, please see pages 32-35 of the 2007 data summary at <u>pscleanair.org/news/library/reports/2007AQDSFinal.pdf</u>.

PM_{2.5} Health and Environmental Effects

Scientific evidence shows that exposure to particle pollution is linked to a variety of significant health problems, such as respiratory disease, decreased lung function, asthma attacks, heart attacks, and premature death. People at risk from particle pollution exposure include people with heart or lung disease (including asthma), older adults and children. Particles act as a mode of transportation into the body for other toxic pollutants that adsorb to them. Particle pollution also contributes to haze in cities and some of our nation's most treasured national parks.

Fine particles are emitted directly from a variety of sources, including wood burning (both outside, and in wood stoves and fireplaces), vehicles and industry. They also form when gases from some of these same sources react in the atmosphere.

PM2.5– Federal Reference Method and Continuous Methods

We measure Fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) using a variety of methods to ensure quality and consistency. EPA defined the federal reference method (FRM) to be the method used to determine PM_{2.5} concentrations. The reference method is a filter-based method. EPA further defined several federal equivalent methods (FEM), which are continuous instruments operated under specific standard operating procedures that are equivalent to the reference method. The Agency uses the FRM, the FEM and a Nephelometer estimation method to provide data. These methods determine fine particulate matter concentration differently:

• FRM: This involves pulling in air (at a given flow rate) for a 24-hour period and collecting particles of a certain size (in this case PM_{2.5}) on a filter. The filter is weighed and the mass is divided by air volume (determined from flow rate and amount of time) to provide concentration.



- FEM: The tapered element oscillating microbalance (TEOM-FDMS) method measures mass and uses a filter dynamic measurement system to eliminate moisture measurements from the sample, allowing the mass to be converted.
- The nephelometer detects particle scattering by flowing a sample into a chamber with a light source. The light source scatters off the particles, and is then amplified by a photomultiplier tube. The system measures the light scattering coefficient compares it to FRM and FEM data to produce an estimate of PM_{2.5}. Light scattering correlates well with the FRM and FEM.

PM_{2.5} Daily Federal Standard and Health Goal


In 2012, EPA maintained its daily health-based standard of 35 micrograms per cubic meter.⁴ We are working together with partners to reduce harmful emissions in our Tacoma-Pierce nonattainment area and bring the area into attainment using three main strategies: Enhanced enforcement of burn bans; required removal of older, more polluting uncertified wood stoves; and Implementation of strategies to reduce fine particle emissions from cars, trucks, ships, and industry.

In addition to the federal standard, our Board of Directors adopted a more stringent health goal based on recommendations from the regional Particulate Matter Health Committee. Monitors in King, Pierce and Snohomish counties exceeded the local health goal of 25 μ g/m³ during the winter season. Our Kitsap County monitor achieved the local health goal.

Map 2 shows the 98^{th} percentile of the 3-year average of daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. The map includes only those monitoring sites with three years of complete data from 2010 to 2012.

⁴U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Particulate Matter, PM Standard Revisions, 2012; <u>epa.gov/particles/actions.html</u>.









Figures 6 through 9 show daily 98th percentile 3-year averages at each monitoring station in King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties compared to the current daily federal standard. Points on the graphs represent averages for three consecutive years. For example, the value for 2012 is the average of the 98th percentile daily concentration for 2010, 2011, and 2012. The FRM measured concentrations for King, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties except where noted.⁵ The FEM measured concentrations for Kitsap County.

Figure 7 does not include a three-year average for Kitsap County in 2008-2010, or 2012 because the monitoring site was moved. Kitsap County data shows that PM2.5 levels are below the federal standard.

Figure 8 shows that PM2.5 concentrations the Tacoma South L Site (located in the Tacoma South End neighborhood) are below the federal standard of 35 μ g/m³, at 28 μ g/m³.


Figure 9 shows concentrations at the Marysville and Darrington monitors in Snohomish County are at 25 and 26 μ g/m³, respectively.

Statistical summaries for 98th percentile daily concentrations for 2012 data are provided on page A-11 through A-13 of the Appendix.

⁵Where possible, nephelometer method data are compared to the reference method values and calculations are made to estimate the PM 2.5. The estimate is used to make the data set "FRM-like".





Figure 6: Daily PM_{2.5} for King County



Note: Duwamish (CE) data are FRM from 1999-2009, nephelometer 2010, TEOM-FEM 2012. Beacon Hill (BW) data are FRM from 1999-2012. Lake Forest Park (DB) data are FRM from 1999-2007, neph in 2008-12. South Park (DA) data are FRM from 1999-2002, (3 yr avg 2004-06 was FRM in 2004, neph in 2005-2012. Bellevue Way (DC) data are FRM from 2001-2004, neph in 2005-12. Redmond (DE) data are FRM from 2000-2002, neph from 2003-2005. Queen Anne (AQ) data are neph from 2002-2012. Olive Way (AZ) data are neph from 2003-2012. North Bend (DG) data are FRM from 2000-2004, neph in 2005-2012. Kent (CW) data are FRM from 1999-2004, neph in 2005-2010, TEOM-FEM 2011-2012. Enumclaw (DF) data are FRM from 2000-2009.

Figure 7: Daily PM_{2.5} for Kitsap County






75% of data is required to calculate 98th percentile. Insufficient data available for 2008 so 3 year calculation not available for 2008-2010. 2011-2012 data are TEOM-FEM. Meadowdale site ended 4/30/12, Spruce site began 5/1/2012, 3 year calculation not available.




Figure 8: Daily PM_{2.5} for Pierce County




3-year average of the 98th percentile of daily concentrations Reference and Continuous Methods

Note: All South L data are FRM from 2000-2012. Alexander Avenue data are FRM from 1999-2002 and nephelometer from 2003-2012. South Hill data are FRM from 1999-2002 and nephelemeter from 2003-2004 and 2006-2012; incomplete nephelometer data was collected from South Hill in 2005.

Figure 9: Daily PM_{2.5} for Snohomish County





Note: Marysville (IG) data are FRM 999-2011, TEOM-FEM 2012. Lynnwood (II) data are FRM except 2004, 2007-2010 which were nephelometer, TEOM-FEM 2011-2012. Darrington (JO) data are neph in 2006, FRM in 2007-2011, TEOM-FEM 2012.




PM_{2.5} Annual Federal Standard

Figures 10 through 13 show annual averages at each monitoring station for King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish Counties. In late 2012, the EPA strengthened the annual standard from 15 micrograms per cubic meter to 12 micrograms per cubic meter. Monitors in all four counties have levels below the annual standard of 12 micrograms per cubic meter and all counties are in attainment for the annual standard. Figure 11 does not show any 2008, 2009, 2010, or 2012 data for Kitsap County because the monitor did not achieve data completeness criteria or the monitoring site was relocated.

Figures 10 through 13 show data from the federal reference method (FRM) and continuous method monitors. The federal standard is based on a 3-year average, so each value on the graph is an average for three consecutive years. For example, the value shown for 2012 is the average of the annual averages for 2010, 2011, and 2012.

Figure 10: Annual PM_{2.5} for King County



Note: Lake Forest Park (DB) data are FRM from 1999-2007, nephelometer in 2008-2012. Beacon Hill (BW) data are FRM from 1999-2012. Duwamish (CE) data are FRM from 1999-2009, nephelometer 2010, TEOM-FEM 2011-2012. South Park (DA) data are FRM from 1999-2002, nephelometer from 2003-2012. Redmond (DE) data are FRM from 2000-2002, nephelometer from 2003-2015. Bellevue Way (DC) data are FRM from 2001-2003, nephelometer from 2004-2012. Kent (CW) data are FRM from 1999-2003, nephelometer 2004-2010, TEOM-FEM 2011-2012. North Bend (DG) data are FRM from 2003-2004, nephelometer in 2005-2012. North Bend (DG) data are FRM from 1909-2003, nephelometer in 2005-2012. North Bend (DG) data are FRM from 1909-2004, nephelometer in 2005-2012.



Figure 11: Annual PM_{2.5} for Kitsap County





Figure 12: Annual PM_{2.5} for Pierce County




3-Year Average of the Annual Mean

Note: South L St. (ES) data are FRM. South Hill (ER) data are FRM from 1999-2002. South Hill (ER) data 2003, 2004, 2008-2012 was measured with a nephelometer. Alexander Ave (EQ) data are FRM from 1999-2002. Alexander Ave (EQ) data 2003-2010 was measured with a nephelometer.



Figure 13: Annual PM_{2.5} for Snohomish County



Note: Marysville (IG) data are FRM from 1999-2011, TEOM-FEM 2012. Lynnwood (II) data are FRM except 2004, 2007-2011, TEOM-FEM 2012. The 2004, 2007-2011 values for Lynnwood were measured with a nephelometer. Darrington (JO) data are neph in 2006, FRM in 2007 - 2011, TEOM-FEM 2012.

PM_{2.5} Continuous Data and Seasonal Variability

Continuous monitoring data provide information on how concentration levels vary throughout the year. For example, many sites have elevated $PM_{2.5}$ levels during the winter when residential burning and air stagnations are at their peak, but have low levels of $PM_{2.5}$ during the summer. For more detailed information on continuous data, please see the Airgraphing tool at <u>airgraphing.pscleanair.org/</u> to plot the sites and timeframes of interest.



Particulate Matter – PM_{2.5} Speciation and Aethalometers

Although there are no regulatory requirements to go beyond measuring the total mass of fine particulate matter, it is important to know the chemical makeup of particulate matter in addition to its mass. Knowledge about the composition of fine particulate can help to guide emission reduction strategies. Information on fine particulate composition helped guide the Agency's commitment to reduce wood smoke and diesel particulate emissions.^{6,7,8}

Speciation Monitoring and Source Apportionment

Speciation monitoring involves determining the individual fractions of metals, ions, and organics in fine particulate matter on different types of filters. We collect the filters and send them to the laboratory. The laboratory analyzes the filters to determine the makeup of fine particulate at that site. The laboratory reports over 40 species for each sample. We estimate contributing sources to PM_{2.5} by performing source apportionment models. Source apportionment models use mathematical analysis techniques to estimate how much each source is contributing at each site.

Ecology conducted speciation monitoring at three monitoring sites in the Puget Sound region in 2012:

- Seattle Beacon Hill typical urban impacts, mixture of sources (speciation samples collected every third day, operated by Ecology)
- Tacoma South L urban residential area, impacts from residential wood combustion (speciation samples collected every sixth day, operated by Ecology)
- Marysville residential area, impacts from wood combustion (speciation samples collected every sixth day, operated by Ecology)

We discontinued two sites in early 2012 (Seattle Duwamish, and Tacoma Tideflats). Scientific and health researchers have analyzed speciation data from these sites. In addition to using speciation data for concentrations of specific species or source apportionment modeling, the Agency uses them to qualitatively look at the makeup of fine particulate at our monitoring sites. For a list of PM_{2.5} analytes measured at these sites, please see Appendix A-14.

Aethalometer Data

Aethalometers provide information about the carbon fraction of fine particulate matter. Aethalometers continuously measure light absorption to estimate carbon concentrations using two channels, black carbon (BC) and ultraviolet (UV). Concentrations from the black carbon channel correlate well with elemental carbon (EC) speciation data. Qualitatively, the difference between the UV and BC channel (UV-BC) correlates well with organic carbon (OC) speciation data. Elemental and

⁶Puget Sound Air Toxics Evaluation, October 2003; <u>pscleanair.org/airq/basics/psate_final.pdf</u>. ⁷Tacoma and Seattle Air Toxics Evaluation, October 2010: epa.gov/ttn/amtic/files/20072008csatam/PSCAA_CommunityAssessment_FR.pdf.

⁸Ogulei, D. WA State Dept of Ecology (2010). "Sources of Fine Particles in the Wapato Hills-Puyallup River Valley PM_{2.5} Nonattainment Area". PublicationNumber 10-02-009.




organic carbons make up parts of diesel particulate, wood smoke particulate and particulate from other combustion sources.⁹ Unfortunately, neither is uniquely attributed to a particular combustion type – so the information gained from aethalometer data is largely qualitative.

The Agency maintains aethalometers at monitoring sites with high particulate matter concentrations, as well as sites with speciation data, so that the different methods to measure carbon may be compared. For more information on aethalometers, refer to our aethalometer monitoring paper at <u>pscleanair.org/airq/Aeth-Final.pdf</u>.

Figure 14 shows annual average trending of black carbon concentrations. Since 2003, the general trend shows reducing BC levels. A statistical summary of aethalometer black carbon data is presented on page A-15 of the Appendix.

Figure 14: Annual PM_{2.5} Black Carbon



⁹Urban Air Monitoring Strategy – Preliminary Results Using Aethalometer™ Carbon Measurements for the Seattle Metropolitan Area; pscleanair.org/airq/Aeth-Final.pdf.



Ozone

Ozone is a summertime air pollution problem in our region and is not directly emitted by pollutant sources. Ozone forms when photochemical pollutants react with sunlight. These pollutants are called ozone precursors and include volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x), with some influence by carbon monoxide (CO). These precursors come from anthropogenic sources such as mobile sources and industrial and commercial solvent use, as well as natural sources (biogenic). Ozone levels are usually highest in the afternoon because of the intense sunlight and the time required for ozone to form in the atmosphere. The Washington State Department of Ecology currently monitors ozone from May through September, as this is the period of concern for elevated ozone levels in the Pacific Northwest.

People sometimes confuse upper atmosphere ozone with ground-level ozone. Stratospheric ozone helps to protect the earth from the sun's harmful ultraviolet rays. In contrast, ozone formed at ground level is unhealthy. Elevated concentrations of ground-level ozone can cause reduced lung function and respiratory irritation, and can aggravate asthma.¹⁰ Ozone has also been linked to immune system impairment.¹¹ People with respiratory conditions should limit outdoor exertion if ozone levels are elevated. Even healthy individuals may experience respiratory symptoms on a high-ozone day. Ground-level ozone can also damage forests and agricultural crops, interfering with their ability to grow and produce food.¹²


Most ozone monitoring stations are located in rural areas of the Puget Sound region, although the precursor chemicals that react with sunlight to produce ozone are generated primarily in large metropolitan areas. The photochemical formation of ozone takes several hours. Thus, the highest concentrations of ozone are measured in the communities downwind of these large urban areas. In the Puget Sound region, the hot sunny days favorable for ozone formation also tend to have light north-to-northwest winds. Precursors are transported downwind from their source by the time the highest ozone concentrations have formed in the afternoon and early evening. As shown on Map 3, the highest ozone concentrations occur at a monitor southeast of the urban area at the Enumclaw site.

¹⁰EPA, Air Quality Index: A Guide to Air Quality and Your Health; <u>epa.gov/airnow/aqi_brochure_08-09.pdf</u>.

¹¹EPA Health and Environmental Effects of Ground Level Ozone; <u>epa.gov/ttn/oarpg/naaqsfin/o3health.html</u>.

¹²EPA Health and Environmental Effects of Ground Level Ozone; <u>epa.gov/ttn/oarpg/naaqsfin/o3health.html</u>.





Map 3: Ozone 3-year Average of 4th Highest 8-hr Value for 2012



Figure 15 presents data for each monitoring station and the 8-hour federal standard. EPA revised its 8-hour standard from 0.08 parts per million (ppm) to 0.075 ppm in March 2008. The federal standard is based on the 3-year average of the 4th highest 8-hour concentration, called the "design value". The year on the x-axis represents the last year averaged. For example, concentrations shown for 2008 are an average of 2006, 2007 and 2008 4th highest concentrations. The 2012 design value is 0.066 ppm, which does not violate the 2008 standard. The highest 2012 8-hour ozone concentration of 0.082 ppm was recorded at the Enumclaw Mud Mountain monitor.

For 2012, the Puget Sound area is in attainment with the 0.075 ppm standard.


Figure 16 presents 8-hour average data for the months of May through September, the months when ozone levels are greatest in the Puget Sound.

Statistical summaries for 8-hour average ozone data are provided on page A-16 of the Appendix.

For additional information on ozone, visit epa.gov/air/ozonepollution.




Figure 15: Ozone for Puget Sound Region



3-Year Average of the 4th Highest Daily Maximum 8-hour Annual Concentration vs Standard

Figure 16: Ozone (O₃) for Puget Sound Region May-September 2012





Nitrogen Dioxide

Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) is a reddish brown, highly reactive gas that forms from the reaction of nitrogen oxide (NO) and hydroperoxy (HO_2) and alkylperoxy (RO_2) free radicals in the atmosphere. NO_2 can cause coughing, wheezing and shortness of breath in people with respiratory diseases such as asthma.¹³ Long-term exposure can lead to respiratory infections.

The term NO_x is defined as $NO + NO_2$. NO_x participates in a complex chemical cycle with volatile organic compounds (VOCs) which can result in the production of ozone. NO_x can also be oxidized to form nitrates, which are an important component of fine particulate matter. On-road vehicles such as trucks and automobiles and off-road vehicles such as construction equipment, marine vessels and port cargo-handling equipment are the major sources of NO_x . Industrial boilers and processes, home heaters and gas stoves also produce NO_x .

Motor vehicle and non-road engine manufacturers have been required by EPA to reduce NO_x emissions from cars, trucks and non-road equipment. As a result, emissions have been reduced dramatically since the 1970s.

Ecology maintains one monitoring site for nitrogen dioxide at the Beacon Hill station. In 2007, the monitoring technique and equipment changed to record NO_y instead of NO_x , in order to observe all reactive nitrogen compounds. NO_y is NO_x plus all other reactive nitrogen oxides present in the atmosphere. NO_y components such as nitric acid (HNO_3) and peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) can be important contributors to the formation of ozone and fine particulate matter. The additional nitroxyl compounds are generally present in much lower concentrations than NO_2 (or NO_x).

Figure 17 shows NO₂ concentrations through 2005. In 2006, no data were recorded due to the relocation of the Beacon Hill monitor to a different location on the same property. From 2007 onward, the concentration of NO₂ is represented as NO_y – NO, since NO₂ is no longer directly recorded, and NO_y = NO + NO₂ + other nitroxyl compounds. The annual average for each year has consistently been less than half of the federal standard, as shown in Figure 17 and in the statistical summary on page A-17 of the Appendix.

The maximum 1-hour average of $NO_y - NO$, measured in 2012, was 0.057 ppm on August 16. Visit <u>epa.gov/air/nitrogenoxides/</u> for additional information on NO_2 .

EPA promulgated a 1-hour national ambient air quality standard for nitrogen dioxide on January 22, 2010.¹⁴ The new 1-hour standard is 100 ppb. The design value is calculated by following the procedures in the Federal Register. EPA retained the current annual health-based standard for nitrogen dioxide of 53 ppb (0.053 ppm). Nitrogen dioxide levels in the Puget Sound region, as currently monitored by Ecology, are typically below (cleaner than) the levels in the new standard. The new standard is depicted in Figure 18 with historical data since 1998. The years prior to 2010 have been included on the graphs for historical comparison; the new air quality standard applies to 2010 and subsequent years.

¹³EPA, Airnow, NO_x Chief Causes for Concern; <u>epa.gov/air/nitrogenoxides/</u>

¹⁴EPA. New 1-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Nitrogen Dioxide; <u>epa.gov/air/nitrogenoxides/actions.html#jan10</u>, accessed September, 2010.







Figure 17: Annual Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y – NO) (2007-Present)

Figure 18: 2010 1-Hour Maximum Standard for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) (1995-2005) and Reactive Nitrogen (NO_y - NO) (2007-Present)





Carbon Monoxide

Carbon monoxide (CO) is an odorless, colorless gas that can enter the bloodstream through the lungs and reduce the amount of oxygen that reaches organs and tissues. Carbon monoxide forms when the carbon in fuels does not burn completely. The vast majority of CO emissions come from motor vehicles.

Elevated levels of CO in ambient air occur more frequently in areas with heavy traffic and during the colder months of the year when temperature inversions are more common. People with cardiovascular disease or respiratory problems may experience chest pain and increased cardiovascular symptoms, particularly while exercising, if CO levels are high. High levels of CO can affect alertness and vision even in healthy individuals.

Although urban portions of the Puget Sound region historically violated the CO standard, CO levels have decreased significantly primarily due to emissions controls on car engines. EPA designated the Puget Sound region as a CO attainment area in 1996. Ecology has substantially reduced its CO monitoring network, and only the Beacon Hill site operated during 2012.

The CO national ambient air quality standard is based on the 2nd highest 8-hour average. Figure 19 shows the 2nd highest 8-hour concentrations and the federal standard (9 ppm) for the Puget Sound region. There currently are no CO monitoring stations in Kitsap, Pierce, or Snohomish Counties.

The maximum 8-hour concentration for CO in 2012 was 0.7 parts per million (ppm) and occurred on September 18 at the Seattle Beacon Hill site.


The EPA federal standards also include a 1-hour standard for CO of 35 ppm, not to be exceeded more than once a year. Measured 1-hour concentrations in the Puget Sound area are historically much lower than the 35 ppm standard.

In 2011, EPA completed an ambient standard review for carbon monoxide, and left the level of the health-based standards unchanged.

Statistical summaries for 8-hour average CO data are provided on page A-18 of the Appendix. For additional information on CO, visit <u>epa.gov/air/urbanair/co/index.html</u>.



Figure 19: Carbon Monoxide (CO): 2nd Highest Annual 8-hour Value for Puget Sound Region



Year



Sulfur Dioxide

Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) is a colorless, reactive gas produced by burning fuels containing sulfur, such as coal and oil, and by industrial processes. Historically, the greatest sources of SO₂ were industrial facilities that derived their products from raw materials such as metallic ore, coal and crude oil, or that burned coal or oil to produce process heat (petroleum refineries, cement manufacturing and metal processing facilities). Marine vessels, on-road vehicles and diesel construction equipment are the main contributors to SO₂ emissions today.

 SO_2 may cause people with asthma who are active outdoors to experience bronchial constriction, where symptoms include wheezing, shortness of breath and tightening of the chest. People should limit outdoor exertion if SO_2 levels are high. SO_2 can also form sulfates in the atmosphere, a component of fine particulate matter.

The Puget Sound area has experienced a significant decrease in SO_2 from sources such as pulp mills, cement plants and smelters in the last two decades. Additionally, levels of sulfur in diesel and gasoline fuels have decreased due to EPA regulations. The Puget Sound Clean Air Agency stopped monitoring for SO_2 in 1999 because of these decreases. We monitored SO_2 at locations near industrial areas. Ecology monitored SO_2 at the Beacon Hill site from 2000-2005. In 2006, the SO_2 monitor was relocated to a different location on the same property. The monitor was not operating most of 2006 so no data are reported for that year.

EPA changed the SO₂ standard in June of 2010 to a more short-term (1-hour) standard and revoked the annual and daily average standards. Historic comparisons to federal and Washington State standards can be seen in our 2009 data summary at <u>pscleanair.org/news/library/reports/2009_AQDS_Report.pdf</u>.

The new standard is a 3-year average of the 99th percentile of the daily 1-hour maximum concentrations. Levels must be below 0.075 ppm. Demonstration of attainment is determined from the 2008-2010 data. The Seattle Beacon Hill site is below the new standard.


Figure 20 shows the maximum 3-year average of the 99th percentile of 1-hour maximum concentrations at Beacon Hill. The maximum measured SO₂ concentrations in 2012 were below standards.


Statistical summaries for SO₂ data from the Beacon Hill site are available on page A-19 of the Appendix.

Additional information on SO₂ is available at <u>epa.gov/air/sulfurdioxide/</u>.



Figure 20: Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) 1-Hour Maximum Concentrations (3-Year Average of the 99th Percentile) for the Puget Sound Region







Lead

Lead is a highly toxic metal that was used for many years in household products (e.g. paints), automobile fuel and industrial chemicals. Nationally, industrial processes, particularly primary and secondary lead smelters and battery manufacturers, are now responsible for most of the remaining lead emissions. Lead from aviation gasoline used in small aircraft is also of concern nationally.

People, animals and fish are mainly exposed to lead by breathing and ingesting it in food, water, soil or dust. Lead accumulates in the blood, bones, muscles and fat. Infants and young children are especially sensitive to even low levels of lead. Lead can have health effects ranging from behavioral problems and learning disabilities to seizures and death.

According to EPA, the primary sources of lead exposure are lead-based paint, lead-contaminated dust and lead-contaminated residual soils. See the EPA website at epa.gov/ttnatw01/hlthef/lead.html for ways to limit your exposure to these lead sources.

Since the phase-out of lead in fuel and the closure of the Harbor Island secondary lead smelter, levels of lead in ambient air have decreased substantially. For a historic look at the Puget Sound region's lead levels, please see page 87 of the 2007 Air Quality Data Summary located at pscleanair.org/news/library/reports/2007AQDSFinal.pdf.

In October 2008, EPA strengthened the lead standard from 1.5 μ g/m³ to 0.15 μ g/m³ (rolling threemonth average).¹⁵ As part of this rulemaking, EPA initiated a pilot lead monitoring program that focuses on lead from aviation gasoline at small airports, including two in our region. For additional information on lead, visit <u>epa.gov/air/lead</u>.

Washington Department of Ecology conducted monitoring of lead at two airports as part of a national EPA study. Results of the study are available from Ecology.

¹⁵US EPA, National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Lead, Final Rule. Federal Register, November 12, 2008; <u>epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-</u> <u>AIR/2008/November/Day-12/a25654.pdf</u>.



Visibility

Visibility data is an indicator of air quality. We quantify visibility in terms of visual range and light extinction. *Visual range* is the maximum distance, usually miles or kilometers, that you can see a black object against the horizon. *Light extinction* is the sum of light scattering and light absorption by fine particles and gases in the atmosphere. The more light extinction, the shorter the visual range. We estimate visual range with nephelometer instruments using light-scattering measurements and then calculating estimated visual range at a specific location.

Reduced visibility is caused by weather such as clouds, fog, rain and air pollution, including fine particles and gases. The major contributor to reduced visual range is fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$), which is present near the ground, can be transported aloft and may remain suspended for a week or longer. Figures 21 through 25 show the visibility for the overall Puget Sound area, as well as King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish Counties. The nephelometer measures the light scattering coefficient (b_{sp}). We calculate the visual range estimate (in miles) by using the light scattering coefficient. Page A-13 of the Appendix shows nephelometer data.

The red line represents the monthly average visibility. The large fluctuations are due to seasonal variability. The blue line shows the average of the previous 12-months. This moving average reduces seasonal variation and allows longer-term trends to be observed. The moving average shows that the visibility for the Puget Sound area has steadily increased (improved) over the last decade with some year-to-year variability. For the 22-year period from December 1990 through December 2012, the 12-month moving average increased from 47 miles to 83 miles.

For additional information on visibility, visit epa.gov/air/visibility/index.html.








Figure 22: King County Visibility






Figure 23: Kitsap County Visibility






Figure 24: Pierce County Visibility



1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012









Air Toxics

Air toxics are broadly defined as over 400 pollutants that the Agency considers potentially harmful to human health and the environment. Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) monitored for air toxics in 2012 at the Seattle Beacon Hill site. The Beacon Hill site is part of an EPA-sponsored network of National Air Toxic Trends Sites. As in previous years, Ecology monitored toxics every six days. This section presents a relative ranking of these toxics based on potential cancer health risks, as well as annual average graphs. Data for 2006 do not appear on these graphs because the 2006 dataset is incomplete due to relocation of the Beacon Hill site that year. We provide a short description of health effects associated with each air toxic and their sources.

From November 2008 to October 2009, we sampled for air toxics at four additional sites in Seattle and Tacoma as part of an EPA-funded air toxics study. For more details, see our report at <u>pscleanair.org/news/library/reports/2010 Tacoma-Seattle Air Toxics Report.pdf</u>.

For general information on air toxics, see <u>pscleanair.org/airq/basics/airtoxics.aspx</u>. Air toxics statistical summaries are provided on page A-20 of the Appendix.

Relative Ranking Based on Cancer Risk & Unit Risk Factors

Table 3 ranks 2012 air toxics from the Beacon Hill monitoring site according to mean potential cancer risk per million. It shows monitored pollutants ranked from highest concern/risk (#1) to lowest, based on ambient concentrations multiplied by unit risk factors. A unit risk factor takes into account how toxic a pollutant is. Potential cancer risk estimates are shown here to provide a meaningful basis of comparison between pollutants and are not intended to represent any one community or individual exposure.

Potential cancer risk estimates can be interpreted as the number of potential additional cancers (out of a population of one million) that may develop from exposure to air toxics over a lifetime (set at 70 years). A risk level of one-in-a-million is commonly used as a screening value, and is used here.¹⁶

For details on how air toxics were ranked, please see pages A-21 and A-22 in the Appendix.

Risks presented in this table are based on annual average ambient (outside) concentrations. Risks based on 95th percentile concentrations (a more protective statistic than presented in Table 3) are presented on page A-22 of the Appendix. Page A-22 also lists the frequency (percentage) of samples that were over the cancer screening level of one-in-a-million risk.

¹⁶US EPA, A Preliminary Risk-Based Screening Approach for Air Toxics Monitoring Datasets. EPA-904-B-06-001, February 2006; <u>epa.gov/region4/air/airtoxic/Screening 111610 KMEL.pdf</u>



Air Toxic	Rank	Average Potential Cancer Risk*
Carbon Tetrachloride	1	29
Benzene	2	17
1,3-Butadiene	3	14
Chromium VI (TSP) M	4	5
Formaldehyde	5	3
Chloroform	6	3
Arsenic (PM ₁₀) M	7	2
Acetaldehyde	8	2
Ethylene Dichloride	9	2
Nickel (PM ₁₀) M	10	1
Dichloromethane	11	1
Ethylbenzene	12	1
Tetrachloroethylene	13	1
Cadmium (PM ₁₀) M	14	< 1

Table 3: 2012 Beacon Hill Air Toxics Ranking(Average Potential Cancer Risk Estimate per 1,000,000)

*Risk based on unit risk factors as adopted in Washington State Acceptable Source Impact Level (WAC 173-460-150)¹⁷

M = metal

 PM_{10} = fine particles less than 10 micrometers in diameter

TSP = total suspended particulate

The two air toxics that present the majority of potential health risk in the Puget Sound area, diesel particulate matter and wood smoke particulate, are not included in the table. No direct monitoring method currently exists for these toxics. Modeling for these air toxics was not conducted for this report.

¹⁷Washington State Administrative Code WAC 173-460-150, <u>apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-460-150</u>



Health effects other than Cancer

Air toxics can also have chronic non-cancer health effects. These include respiratory, cardiac, immunological, nervous system and reproductive system effects.

In order to determine non-cancer health risks, we compared each air toxic to its reference concentration, as established by California EPA (the most comprehensive dataset available). A reference concentration (RfC) is considered a safe level for toxics for non-cancer health effects.

Only one air toxic, acrolein, failed the screen for non-cancer health effects, with measured concentrations consistently exceeding the reference concentration. Acrolein irritates the lungs, eyes, and nose, and is a combustion by-product.¹⁸ Reference concentrations and hazard indices are shown for each air toxic on page A-23 of the Appendix. A hazard index is the concentration of a pollutant (either mean or other statistic) divided by the reference concentration. Acrolein's mean 2012 hazard index was 2.0. Typically, no adverse non-cancer health effects for that pollutant are associated with a hazard index less than 1, although it is important to consider that people are exposed to many pollutants at the same time.

We did not explore acute non-cancer health effects, because the Beacon Hill air toxics concentrations are based on 24-hour samples.

Air Toxics Graphs

Annual average concentrations are shown on the following pages for air toxics collected from 2000 to 2012 at Beacon Hill. While this report does not statistically investigate trends, a precursory look at most data show that annual average concentrations have typically decreased from 2000 to 2012. We do not present graphs for air toxics metals because few exceed potential cancer risk screening levels. EPA has not set ambient air standards for air toxics, so graphs do not include reference lines for federal standards.

¹⁸EPA, Acrolein Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/acrolein.html</u>.




Carbon Tetrachloride

The EPA lists carbon tetrachloride as a probable human carcinogen. Carbon tetrachloride inhalation is also associated with liver and kidney damage.¹⁹ It was widely used as a solvent for both industry and consumer users and was banned from consumer use in 1995. Trace amounts are still emitted by local sewage treatment plants. Carbon tetrachloride is relatively ubiquitous and has a long half-life and concentrations are similar in urban and rural areas. Carbon tetrachloride's 2012 average potential cancer risk range estimate at Beacon Hill was 29 in a million.

The Agency does not target efforts at reducing carbon tetrachloride emissions, as carbon tetrachloride has been banned already.






¹⁹EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/carbonte.html</u>.

Benzene

The EPA lists benzene as a known human carcinogen. Benzene inhalation is also linked with blood, immune and nervous system disorders.²⁰ This air toxic comes from a variety of sources, including car/truck exhaust, wood burning, evaporation of industrial solvent and other combustion. Benzene's 2012 average potential cancer risk range estimate at Beacon Hill was 17 in a million.

Benzene levels are likely decreasing in our area due to factors including: less automobile pollution with cleaner vehicles coming into the fleet, better fuels and fewer gas station emissions due to better compliance (vapor recovery at the pump and during filling of gas station tanks).





²⁰EPA Hazard Summary; epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/benzene.html.



1,3-Butadiene

The EPA lists 1,3-butadiene as a known human carcinogen. 1,3-butadiene inhalation is also associated with neurological effects.²¹ Primary sources of 1,3-butadiene include cars, trucks, buses and wood burning. 1,3-butadiene's 2012 average potential cancer risk estimate at Beacon Hill was 14 in a million.

Agency efforts that target vehicle exhaust and wood stove emission reductions also reduce 1,3butadiene emissions.




Figure 28: 1,3-Butadiene Annual Average Concentrations at Beacon Hill, 2000-2012

²¹EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttnatw01/hlthef/butadien.html</u>.



Formaldehyde

The EPA lists formaldehyde as a probable human carcinogen. Formaldehyde inhalation is also associated with eye, nose, throat and lung irritation.²² Sources of ambient formaldehyde include automobiles, trucks, wood burning and other combustion. Formaldehyde's 2012 average potential cancer risk range estimate at Beacon Hill was 3 in a million.

The increase in formaldehyde 2003 concentrations is due to 9 anomalous sampling days in July 2003 when levels were roughly ten times the normal levels. It is possible that a local formaldehyde source was present at the Beacon Hill reservoir during this month and inadvertently affected the monitors.

Agency efforts that target vehicle exhaust and wood stove emission reductions also reduce formaldehyde emissions.




Figure 29: Formaldehyde Annual Average Concentrations at Beacon Hill, 2000-2012

²²EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/formalde.html</u>.



Acetaldehyde

The EPA lists acetaldehyde as a probable human carcinogen. Acetaldehyde inhalation is also associated with irritation of eyes, throat and lungs, and effects similar to alcoholism.²³ Main sources of acetaldehyde include wood burning and car/truck exhaust. Acetaldehyde's 2012 average potential cancer risk estimate at Beacon Hill was 2 in a million.

Agency efforts that target vehicle exhaust and wood stove emission reductions also reduce acetaldehyde emissions.




Figure 30: Acetaldehyde Annual Average Concentrations at Beacon Hill, 2000-2012

²³EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/acetalde.html</u>.



Chloroform

The EPA lists chloroform as a probable human carcinogen. Chloroform inhalation is associated with central nervous system effects and liver damage.²⁴ Main sources of chloroform are water treatment plants and reservoirs. Since the Beacon Hill monitoring site is located at the Beacon Hill reservoir, the chloroform data may be biased high. However, it is still useful to calculate and assess the long-term trend and potential risk. Chloroform's 2012 average potential cancer risk range estimate at Beacon Hill was 3 in a million.

The Agency does not prioritize efforts to reduce chloroform emissions, as it does not likely present risk in areas other than those directly adjacent to reservoirs.²⁵




Figure 31: Chloroform Annual Average Concentrations at Beacon Hill, 2000-2012

²⁴EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/chlorofo.html</u>.

²⁵Seattle Public Utilities. 2011Water Quality Analysis shows detectable levels of trihalomethanes;

http://www.seattle.gov/util/groups/public/@spu/@water/documents/webcontent/02_016357.pdf. Trihalomethanes include chloroform, dichlorobromomethane, dibromochloromethane, and bromoform.



Tetrachloroethylene

EPA lists tetrachloroethylene, also known as perchloroethylene or "perc", as a probable human carcinogen. Tetrachloroethylene inhalation is also associated with central nervous system effects, liver and kidney damage, and cardiac arrhythmia.²⁶ Dry cleaners are the main source of tetrachloroethylene. Tetrachloroethylene's 2012 average potential cancer risk estimate at Beacon Hill was one-in-a-million.




Figure 32: Tetrachloroethylene Annual Average Concentrations at Beacon Hill, 2000-2012

²⁶EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/tet-ethy.html</u>.

Ethylene Dichloride

EPA lists ethylene dichloride as a Group B2 probable human carcinogen. Chronic exposure to ethylene dichloride may affect the liver and kidneys. Ethylene dichloride is often used in the production of chemicals and as a solvent. Ethylene Dichloride's 2012 average potential cancer risk estimate at Beacon Hill was two-in-a-million. The Agency works with and regulates solvent-using businesses to reduce ethylene dichloride emissions.

Ethylbenzene

EPA lists Ethylbenzene as a Group D pollutant, which is not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity due to limited information available.²⁷ Chronic exposure to Ethylbenzene may affect the blood, liver and kidneys. Local sources of Ethylbenzene are from fuels, asphalt and naphtha. It is also used in styrene production. Ethylbenzene's 2012 average potential cancer risk estimate at Beacon Hill was one-in-a-million. The Agency works with and regulates solvent-using businesses to reduce Ethylbenzene emissions.

Naphthalene

EPA lists naphthalene as a possible human carcinogen. Naphthalene is similarly associated with respiratory effects and retina damage.²⁸ Local sources of naphthalene include combustion of wood and heavy fuels. Naphthalene's 2012 average potential cancer risk estimate at Beacon Hill was below one in a million. Since naphthalene is below one-in-a-million cancer risk no graph of estimated potential risk is presented.

The Agency works with and regulates wood burning through burn bans and wood stove replacement programs to reduce naphthalene emissions.

Metals

Table 3 (2012 Beacon Hill Air Toxics Ranking), shown previously in this section, includes estimated potential cancer risks for several PM_{10} metals monitored at Beacon Hill, as well as total suspended particulate (TSP) hexavalent chromium. Hexavalent chromium and arsenic posed the greatest potential cancer risks. Other metals were below non-cancer screening levels (see Appendix page A-23).

Health effects from exposure to these and other monitored metals are listed below, along with local sources.

²⁷EPA Hazard Summary: <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/ethylben.html</u>.

²⁸EPA Hazard Summary; epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/naphthal.html.



Hexavalent Chromium

Chromium is present in two chemical states (trivalent and hexavalent) in our air. Trivalent chromium occurs naturally, while hexavalent comes from human activities and is much more toxic. EPA lists hexavalent chromium as a known carcinogen, associated primarily with lung cancer. Exposure to hexavalent chromium is also associated with adverse respiratory, liver and kidney effects.²⁹ Sources of hexavalent chromium include chrome electroplaters, as well as combustion of distillate oil, and combustion of gasoline and diesel fuels (car, truck and bus exhaust).

In recent years, the monitoring method for total suspended particulate (TSP) hexavalent chromium has improved. The estimated average potential cancer risk range for hexavalent chromium at Beacon Hill was 5 in a million.

The Agency's permitting program works with and regulates industrial chromium plating operations to reduce hexavalent chromium emissions.

Arsenic

EPA lists arsenic as a known carcinogen. Exposure to arsenic is also associated with skin irritation and liver and kidney damage.³⁰ Arsenic is used to treat wood. Combustion of distillate oil is also a source of arsenic in the Puget Sound area. Arsenic's 2012 average potential cancer risk range estimate at Beacon Hill was 2 in a million.

Nickel

EPA lists nickel as a known human carcinogen. Nickel is also associated with dermatitis and respiratory effects.³¹ Combustion of gasoline and diesel fuels (car, truck and bus exhaust) is a main source of nickel in the Puget Sound area. Nickel's 2012 average potential cancer risk estimate at Beacon Hill was one-in-a-million.

Cadmium

EPA lists cadmium as a probable human carcinogen. Cadmium exposures are also associated with kidney damage.³² Combustion of distillate oil is a main source of cadmium in the Puget Sound area. Cadmium's 2012 average potential cancer risk estimate at Beacon Hill was less than one-in-a-million.

Manganese

EPA lists manganese as "not classifiable" for cancer. Manganese exposures are primarily associated with central nervous system effects.³³ Manganese is naturally occurring and is usually present in the air in small amounts. Additional local sources include steel foundries and

²⁹EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/chromium.html</u>.

³⁰EPA Hazard Summary; epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/arsenic.html.

³¹EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/iris/subst/0273.htm</u>.

³²EPA Hazard Summary; <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/cadmium.html</u>.

³³EPA National Air Toxics Assessment; <u>epa.gov/ttnatw01/hlthef/manganes.html</u>.



blasting of metal parts. 2012 manganese levels in the Puget Sound area are below levels indicating health risk, with a hazard index of less than one.



Definitions

General Definitions

Air Quality Index

	Br	eakpoints fo	r Criteria	Pollutants			AQI (Categories
0₃ (ppm) 8-hour	0₃ (ppm) 1-hour ^(a)	ΡΜ _{2.5} (μg/m ³)	ΡΜ ₁₀ (μg/m ³)	CO (ppm)	SO ₂ ^(e) (ppm)	NO₂ (ppm)	AQI value	Category
0.000-0.059	—	0.0–15.4	0–54	0.0–4.4	0.000-0.034	(b)	0–50	Good
0.060-0.075	—	15.5–35.4 ^(d)	55–154	4.5–9.4	0.035–0.074	(b)	51–100	Moderate
0.076–0.095	0.125–0.164	35.5–55.4 ^(d)	155–254	9.5–12.4	0.075–0.184	(b)	101–150	Unhealthy for sensitive groups
0.096-0.115	0.165-0.204	55.5–150.4	255–354	12.5–15.4	0.185-0.304	(b)	151–200	Unhealthy
0.116-0.374	0.205-0.404	150.5-250.4	355–424	15.5–30.4	0.305-0.804	0.65–1.24	201–300	Vorumboolthy
(c)	0.405-0.504	250.5-350.4	425–504	30.5–40.4	0.605–0.804	1.25–1.64	301–400	Very unhealthy
(c)	0.505-0.604	350.4-500.4	505–604	40.5–50.4	0.805-1.004	1.65–2.04	401–500	Hazardous

Table 4: 2012 Calculation and Breakpoints for the Air Quality Index (AQI)

^(a)Areas are generally required to report the AQI based on 8-hour ozone values. However, there are a small number of areas where an AQI based on 1-hour ozone values would be safer. In these cases, in addition to calculating the 8-hour ozone value, the 1-hour ozone value may be calculated, and the greater of the two values reported.

^(b)NO₂ has no short-term National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and can generate an AQI only above a value of 200.

 $^{(c)}$ 8-hour O₃ values do not define higher AQI values (above 300). AQI values above 300 are calculated with 1-hour O₃ concentrations.

^(d)Although EPA changed the PM_{2.5} standard in 2006, EPA has not yet revised the AQI breakpoints to reflect the revised PM_{2.5} daily standard. On January 15, 2009, EPA proposed to change the AQI to be reflective of the levels of the federal standard (that is, the "unhealthy for sensitive groups" category will start at 35.4 μ g/m³, instead of the current 40.4 μ g/m³). As a result, we amended the AQI to reflect the proposed change. That is, the AQI for PM_{2.5} in this document may have a slight increase in the number of days in the "unhealthy for sensitive groups" range than in it may have had based on the older definition.

^(e)EPA changed the SO₂ standard on June 22, 2010 to be based on an hourly maximum instead of a 24-hour and annual average.

For more information on the AQI, see <u>airnow.gov/index.cfm?action=aqibasics.aqi</u>.

Air shed

A geographic area that shares the same air, due to topography, meteorology and climate.

Air Toxics

Air toxics are broadly defined as over 400 pollutants that the Agency considers potentially harmful to human health and the environment. These pollutants are listed in the Washington Administrative Code at apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-460-150. Hazardous air pollutants (see below) are checked on this list to identify them as a subset of air toxics. Air toxics are also called Toxic Air Contaminants (TAC) under Agency Regulation III.

Criteria Air Pollutant (CAP)

The Clean Air Act of 1970 defined *criteria pollutants* and provided EPA the authority to establish ambient concentration standards for these criteria pollutants to protect public health. EPA periodically revises the original concentration limits and methods of measurement, most



recently in 2011. The six criteria air pollutants are: particulate matter (10 micrometers and 2.5 micrometers), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide and lead.

ppm, ppb (parts per million, or parts per billion))

A unit of concentration used for a many air pollutants. A ppm (ppb) means one molecule of the pollutant per million (or billion) molecules of air.

Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP)

A *hazardous air pollutant* is an air contaminant listed in the Federal Clean Air Act, Section 112(b). EPA currently lists 188 pollutants as HAPs at <u>epa.gov/ttn/atw/188polls.html</u>.

Temperature Inversions

Air temperature usually decreases with altitude. On a sunny day, air near the surface is warmed and is free to rise. The warm surface air can rise to altitudes of 4000 feet or more and is dispersed (or mixed) into higher altitudes. In contrast, on clear nights with little wind, the surface can cool rapidly (by 10 degrees or more), which also cools the air just above the surface. The air aloft does not cool, which creates a very stable situation where the warm air aloft effectively caps the cooler air below. This limits mixing to just a few hundred feet or less. This situation is called a temperature inversion and allows for pollutants to accumulate to high concentrations.

Unit Risk Factor (URF)

A unit risk factor is a measure of a pollutant's cancer risk based on a 70-year inhalation exposure period. The units are risk/concentration. Unit risk factors are multiplied by concentrations to estimate potential cancer risk.

Visibility/Regional Haze

Visibility is often explained in terms of visual range and light extinction. *Visual range* is the maximum distance (usually miles or kilometers) a black object can be seen against the horizon. *Light extinction* is the sum of light scattering and light absorption by fine particles and gases in the atmosphere. The more light extinction, the shorter the visual range. Reduced visibility (or visual range) is caused by weather (clouds, fog, and rain) and air pollution (fine particles and gases).

Volatile Organic Compound (VOC)

An organic compound that participates in atmospheric photochemical reactions. This excludes compounds determined by EPA to have negligible photochemical reactivity.



1904 Third Avenue, Suite 105 Seattle, Washington 98101 www.pscleanair.org

2012

Air Quality Data Summary Appendix

SEPTEMBER 2013

Working Together for Clean Air

Air Quality Index 1980 – 2012

					Ki	ng C	ount	у							
	Days i	in Each Ai	r Quality	Category	1	Pol	lutan	t De	termi	ning	the A	QI	н	lighest V	alue
			Unhealthy												
		f	or Sensitive	2	Very		All D	a ys		Unhe	althy[Days			
Year	Good	Moderate	Groups	Unhealthy	Unhealthy	PM	CO	SO_2	O ₃	PM	CO	O ₃	AQI	Date	Pollutant
1980	73	275		18	0	95	270	1		1	17		194	Jan 23	PM
1981	69	267		28	1	109	254	2		5	24		213	Jan 15	CO
1982	86	268		10	1	96	264	5		1	10		214	Feb 6	PM
1983	98	258		9	0	101	261	3		0	9		183	Jan 28	CO
1984	146	218		2	0	111	242	13		2	0		103	Dec 6	PM
1985	150	202		10	3	156	206	3		6	7		204	Dec 12	PM
1986	130	226		8	1	113	246	6		1	8		206	Jan 7	PM
1987	120	238		7	0	119	246	0		3	4		184	Feb 6	PM
1988	215	146		5	0	67	298	1		2	3		150	Dec 3	СО
1989	231	134		0	0	129	233	3		0	0		100	Jan 19 #	CO
1990	216	145		4	0	139	201	6	19	0	0	4	131	Aug 11	O ₃
1991	229	136		0	0	140	190	8	27	0	0	0	100	Dec 15 #	CO
1992	206	159		1	0	103	230	1	32	0	1	0	167	Feb 3	CO
1993	240	125		0	0	118	235	1	11	0	0	0	88	Jan 11	PM
1994	293	70		2	0	72	270	1	22	0	0	2	134	Jul 21	O ₃
1995	299	66		0	0	95	249	5	16	0	0	0	89	Jan 3	CO
1996	297	69		0	0	85	252	2	27	0	0	0	100	Oct 9	CO
1997	302	63		0	0	117	230	0	18	0	0	0	94	Jan 16	PM
1998	317	46		2	0	111	228	0	26	0	0	2	114	Jul 27 #	O ₃
1999	267	92	6	0	0	251	60	0	54	5	0	1	134	Jan 4	PM
2000	241	118	7	0	0	288	25	0	53	5	0	2	114	Nov 21	PM
2001	273	86	6	0	0	295	10	0	60	6	0	0	118	Nov 10	PM
2002	262	99	4	0	0	275	11	0	79	4	0	0	113	Nov 27	PM
2003	268	95	2	0	0	250	5	0	110	0	0	2	132	Jun 6	O ₃
2004	256	105	5	0	0	280	2	0	84	4	0	1	132	Dec 18	PM
2005	254	106	5	0	0	302	3	0	60	5	0	0	117	Dec 11	PM
2006	268	87	6	4	0	273	2	0	90	6	0	4	169	Jul 22	O ₃
2007	285	77	3	0	0	278	0	0	87	2	0	1	115	Jan 29	PM
2008	287	76	3	0	0	306	0	0	60	0	0	3	140	Jun 29	O ₃
2009	272	88	4	1	0	254	0	0	111	1	0	4	154	Jul 5	PM
2010	320	44	1	0	0	261	0	0	104	0	0	1	104	Aug 17	O ₃
2011	316	49	0	0	0	192	0	0	173	0	0	0	98	Dec 10	PM
2012	315	47	4	0	0	206	0	0	160	2	0	2	116	Aug 5	O ₃
Totals	7601	4280	56	111	6	5787	4723	61	1483	61	83	29			
	PM = P	articulate M	atter	CO = Carbo	on Monoxide	2	SO ₂ = S	ulfur	Dioxid	e	O ₃ = Oz	one	i	# = 1st Occı	urrence

Note: In 1987 the particulate matter (PM) standard, total suspended particulates (TSP), was replaced by only that fraction of particulate matter with particle diameters equal to or less than 10 micrometers (PM₁₀).

In 1999 the Pollutant Standard Index (PSI) was replaced by the Air Quality Index (AQI) and included new and more stringent fine particle ($PM_{2.5}$) and 8-hour ozone (O_3) standards. The O_3 standard was again revised in March 2008.

Air Quality Index 1990 – 2012

Kitsap County															
	Days i	n Each Ai	r Quality	Category		Pol	lutan	t Det	ermi	ining t	he A	QI	н	lighest \	/alue
			Unhealthy												
		fe	or Sensitiv	e	Very		All D	a ys		Unhea	althy D	a ys			
Year	Good	Moderate	Groups	Unhealthy	Unhealthy	PM	CO	SO_2	O ₃	PM	CO	O ₃	AQI	Date	Pollutant
1990															
1991															
1992	353	8		0	0	361				0			68	Nov 25	PM
1993	343	12		0	0	355				0			62	Jan 11	PM
1994	364	1		0	0	248	117			0	0		54	Dec 23	CO
1995	361	4		0	0	86	279			0	0		57	Jan 5	CO
1996	361	1		0	0	206	156			0	0		51	Mar 2	PM
1997	361	1		0	0	362				0			55	Jan 15	PM
1998	347	9		0	0	356				0			87	Nov 8	PM
1999	333	32	0	0	0	365				0			81	Jan 5#	PM
2000	290	75	0	1	0	366				1			159	Jul 4	PM
2001	320	42	0	0	0	362				0			91	Dec 25	PM
2002	324	41	0	0	0	365				0			78	Nov 2	PM
2003	318	47	0	0	0	365				0			78	Nov 3	PM
2004	340	26	0	0	0	366				0			80	Jul 4	PM
2005	328	35	2	0	0	365				2			136	Jul 4	PM
2006	339	25	1	0	0	365				1			105	Dec 17	PM
2007	322	42	0	0	0	364				0			92	Nov 24	PM
2008	342	24	0	0	0	366				0			78	Dec 23	PM
2009	300	37	2	0	0	339				2			111	Dec 3	PM
2010	321	31	0	0	0	352				0			88	Dec 31	PM
2011	340	22	1	0	0	363				1			111	Jan 1	PM
2012	345	11	0	0	0	356				0			68	Jan 1	PM
Totals	7052	526	6	1	0	7033	552	0	0	7	0	0			
	PM = P	articulate M	atter	CO = Carbo	n Monoxide	2	50 ₂ = S	ulfur [Dioxid	e (0 ₃ = Oz	one	4	# = 1st Occ	urrence

Note: In 1987 the particulate matter (PM) standard, total suspended particulates (TSP), was replaced by only that fraction of particulate matter with particle diameters equal to or less than 10 micrometers (PM₁₀).
 In 1999 the Pollutant Standard Index (PSI) was replaced by the Air Quality Index (AQI) and included new and more stringent fine particle (PM_{2.5}) and 8-hour ozone (O₃) standards. The O₃ standard was again revised in March 2008.

Air Quality Index 1980 – 2012

					Pie	rce	Coun	ty							
	Days i	n Each Ai	ir Quality	Category	У	Pol	lutan	t De	termi	ning	the A	QI	H	lighest V	alue
			Unhealthy												
		f	or Sensitive	9	Very		All D	a ys		Unhe	althyD	Days			
Year	Good	Moderate	Groups	Unhealthy	y Unhealthy	PM	CO	SO_2	O ₃	PM	CO	O ₃	AQI	Date	Pollutant
1980	83	271		12	0	256	107	3		4	8		160	Apr 12	PM
1981	74	278		10	3	222	137	6		1	12		227	Jan 12	CO
1982	119	242		4	0	255	101	9		0	4		167	Dec 30	CO
1983	140	222		3	0	228	128	9		1	2		137	Dec 23	PM
1984	162	198		6	0	207	149	10		0	6		117	Jan 19 #	CO
1985	140	213		12	0	252	109	4		1	11		165	Dec 13	PM
1986	161	197		7	0	247	114	4		2	5		167	Oct 23	CO
1987	173	177		13	2	227	136	2		5	10		220	Feb 5	CO
1988	226	132		8	0	184	175	7		3	5		183	Jan 27	CO
1989	260	103		2	0	217	121	27		0	2		117	Nov 30 #	CO
1990	271	91		3	0	219	87	41	18	1	0	2	118	May 5	PM
1991	261	103		1	0	247	85	12	21	0	1	0	117	Jan 31	CO
1992	260	106		0	0	231	83	27	25	0	0	0	100	Feb 3 #	CO
1993	289	76		0	0	247	82	23	13	0	0	0	89	Feb 1	CO
1994	313	51		1	0	235	75	31	24	0	0	1	105	Jul 21	O ₃
1995	307	58		0	0	239	97	13	16	0	0	0	83	Jan 3	PM
1996	322	44		0	0	206	119	23	18	0	0	0	78	Oct 9	CO
1997	316	49		0	0	262	75	16	12	0	0	0	84	Jan 16	PM
1998	338	25		2	0	213	112	25	15	0	0	2	120	Jul 27	O ₃
1999	265	97	3	0	0	318	1	1	45	3	0	0	139	Jan 4	PM
2000	242	110	13	1	0	318	2	0	46	14	0	0	153	Dec 6	PM
2001	271	83	11	0	0	306	2	0	57	11	0	0	139	Nov 10	PM
2002	267	88	9	1	0	291	1	0	73	10	0	0	158	Nov 27	PM
2003	265	92	8	0	<u>0</u>	264	1	0	100	8	0	0	122	Jan 7	PM
2004	251	110	5	0	0	272	0	0	94	5	0	0	133	Nov 5	PM
2005	275	82	8	0	0	276	2	0	87	8	0	0	120	Dec 10	PM
2006	283	71	7	4	0	270	0	0	95	8	0	3	170	Dec 17	PM
2007	298	57	10	0	0	261	0	0	104	9	0	1	137	Jan 29	PM
2008	295	63	8	0	0	259	0	0	107	5	0	3	129	Aug 16	O ₃
2009	284	66	14	1	0	250	0	0	115	15	0	0	158	Jul 5	PM
2010	324	41	0	0	0	259	0	0	106	0	0	0	83	Dec 5	PM
2011	307	47	10	1	0	365	0	0	0	11	0	0	152	Jan 1	PM
2012	322	39	5	0	0	366	0	0	0	5	0	0	144	Jan 20	PM
Totals	8164	3682	111	92	5	8469	2101	293	1191	130	66	12			
	PM = Pa	articulate N	latter	CO = Carbo	on Monoxide	2	SO ₂ = S	Sulfur	Dioxid	e	O ₃ = Oz	one		# = 1st Occı	urrence

Note: In 1987 the particulate matter (PM) standard, total suspended particulates (TSP), was replaced by only that fraction of particulate matter with particle diameters equal to or less than 10 micrometers (PM₁₀). In 1999 the Pollutant Standard Index (PSI) was replaced by the Air Quality Index (AQI) and included new and more stringent fine particle (PM_{2.5}) and 8-hour ozone (O₃) standards. The O₃ standard was again revised in March 2008.

Air Quality Index 1980 – 2012

					Snoh	omis	n Co	unty							
	Days i	n Each Ai	r Quality	Category		Pol	lutan	t Det	ermi	ning t	he A	QI	H	lighest V	alue
			Unhealthy												
		f	or Sensitive		Very		All D				althy D				
Year	Good	Moderate	Groups	Unhealthy	Jnhealthy	PM	CO	SO_2	O ₃	PM	CO	SO ₂	AQI	Date	Pollutan
1980	340	19		0	0	356		3		0		0	60	Jan 23	PM
1981	350	11		0	0	340		21		0		0	62	Jan 16	PM
1982	334	30		1	0	277	70	18		0	1	0	117	Dec 30	CO
1983	308	56		1	0	191	150	24		0	1	0	117	Nov 30	CO
1984	309	57		0	0	105	217	44		0	0	0	92	Sep 28	PM
1985	300	64		1	0	152	166	47		0	1	0	117	Dec 11	CO
1986	324	41		0	0	169	148	48		0	0	0	89	Jan 25	CO
1987	203	158		3	0	96	250	18		0	3	0	117	Jun 26 #	CO
1988	174	184		8	0	15	345	6		0	8	0	133	Sep 13 #	CO
1989	150	213		2	0	26	338	1		0	2	0	133	Feb 10	CO
1990	166	197		2	0	29	335	1		0	2	0	117	Mar2#	CO
1991	188	176		1	0	32	333	0		0	1	0	117	Dec 16	CO
1992	180	186		0	0	34	332	0		0	0	0	100	Feb 4 #	CO
1993	237	128		0	0	56	306	0	3	0	0	0	79	Jan 11	PM
1994	294	71		0	0	28	334	1	2	0	0	0	78	Dec 30	CO
1995	316	49		0	0	59	294	1	11	0	0	0	78	Jul 7	CO
1996	340	26		0	0	54	299	0	13	0	0	0	67	Jul 26	O ₃
1997	348	17		0	0	210	151	0	4	0	0	0	67	Jan 14	PM
1998	353	11		1	0	143	219	3	0	1	0	0	153	Dec 22	PM
1999	300	62	3	0	0	260	105	0	0	3	0	0	129	Jan 3	PM
2000	253	79	5	0	0	301	36	0	0	5	0	0	113	Jul 4	PM
2001	290	73	2	0	0	356	9	0	0	2	0	0	111	Nov 10	PM
2002	288	69	8	0	0	343	22	0	0	8	0	0	116	Nov 4	PM
2003	282	80	3	0	0	364	1	0	0	3	0	0	108	Nov 4	PM
2004	290	74	2	0	0	364	2	0	0	2	0	0	107	Nov 5	PM
2005	288	72	5	0	0	360	5	0	0	5	0	0	139	Dec 11	PM
2006	301	57	7	0	0	364	1	0	0	7	0	0	143	Dec 17	PM
2007	288	70	6	1	0	365	0	0	0	7	0	0	155	Jan 15	PM
2008	294	72	0	0	0	366	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	Dec 19	PM
2009	269	84	12	0	0	365	0	0	0	12	0	0	117	Jul 5	PM
2010	324	41	0	0	0	365	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	Nov 24	PM
2011	304	53	8	0	0	365	0	0	0	8	0	0	147	Jan 1	PM
2012	321	43	1	1	0	366	0	0	0	2	0	0	156	Jul 4	PM
Totals	9306	2623	62	22	0	7276	4468	236	33	65	19	0			
	PM = P	articulate M	atter	CO = Carbon	Monovide		SO ₂ = 9	Sulfur D	Dioxid	e i	O₃ = Oz	one		# = 1st Occi	

Note: In 1987 the particulate matter (PM) standard, total suspended particulates (TSP), was replaced by only that fraction of particulate matter with particle diameters equal to or less than 10 micrometers (PM₁₀).

In 1999 the Pollutant Standard Index (PSI) was replaced by the Air Quality Index (AQI) and included new and more stringent fine particle ($PM_{2.5}$) and 8-hour ozone (O_3) standards. The O_3 standard was again revised in March 2008.

Monitoring Methods Used from 1999 to 2012 in the Puget Sound Air shed

Pollutant Code	Measurement	Method	Units
Вар	Light Absorption by Particles	Light Absorption by Aethalometer	bap (x 10 exp-4)/m
Bsp	Light Scattering by Particles	Nephelometer - Heated Inlet	bsp (x 10 exp-4)/m
CO	Carbon Monoxide	Gas Nondispersive Infrared Radiation	parts per million
NO _x	Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	Chemiluminescence	parts per million
	Nitric Oxide (NO)	Chemiluminescence	parts per million
	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	Chemiluminescence	parts per million
ΝΟγ	Reactive Nitrogen Compounds (NO _x + other reactive compounds)	Chemiluminescence	parts per billion
O ₃	Ozone	UV Absorption	parts per million
Pb	Lead	Standard High Volume	micrograms per standard cubic meter
PM ₁₀ ref	PM ₁₀ Reference	Reference - Hi Vol Andersen/GMW 1200	micrograms per cubic meter
PM_{10} bam	PM ₁₀ Beta Attenuation	Andersen FH621-N	micrograms per cubic meter
PM ₁₀ teom	PM ₁₀ Teom	R&P Mass Transducer	micrograms per cubic meter
PM _{2.5} ref	PM _{2.5} Reference	Reference—R&P Partisol 2025	micrograms per cubic meter
PM _{2.5} bam	PM _{2.5} Beta Attenuation	Andersen FH621-N	micrograms per cubic meter
PM _{2.5} teom	PM _{2.5} Teom	R&P Mass Transducer	micrograms per cubic meter
PM _{2.5} ls	PM _{2.5} Nephelometer	Radiance Research M903 Nephelometer	micrograms per cubic meter
PM _{2.5} bc	PM _{2.5} Black Carbon	Light Absorption by Aethalometer	micrograms per cubic meter
RH	Relative Humidity	Continuous Instrument Output	percent
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide	UV Fluorescence	parts per million
Temp	Temperature	Continuous Instrument Output	degrees F
TSP	PM Total Hi-Vol	Standard High Volume	micrograms per standard cubic meter
Vsby	Visual Range	Light Scattering by Nephelometer	miles
Wind	Wind Speed/ Wind Direction	RM Young 05305 Wind Monitor AQ (old method)	miles per hour/degrees
	Wind Speed/ Wind Direction	Ultrasonic (new method)	miles per hour/degrees

Historical Air Quality Monitoring Network

Station ID	Location	PM ₁₀ Ref	PM ₁₀ bam	PM ₁₀ Teom	PM _{2.5} ref	PM _{2.5} bam	PM _{2.5} teom	PM _{2.5} Is	PM _{2.5} bc	03	SO2	ΝΟγ	со	b _{sp}	Wind	Temp	AT	Vsby	Location
AO®	Northgate, 310 NE Northgate Way, Seattle (ended Mar 31, 2003)												х						b, d, f
AQ	Queen Anne Hill, 400 W Garfield St, Seattle (photo/visibility included)							•						•	•	•		•	a, d, f
AR®	4th Ave & Pike St, 1424 4 th Ave, Seattle (ended Jun 30, 2006)												х						a, d
AS	5th Ave & James St, Seattle (ended Feb 28, 2001)												х						a, d
AU®	622 Bellevue Way NE, Bellevue (ended Jul 30, 1999)												х						a, d
AZ	Olive Way & Boren Ave, 1624 Boren Ave, Seattle							•	х					•	•	•		•	a, d
BF●	University District, 1307 NE 45th St, Seattle (ended Jun 30, 2006)												х						b, d
BU⊚	Highway 410, 2 miles E of Enumclaw (ended Sep 30, 2000)									х									с, е
BV	Sand Point, 7600 Sand Pt Way NE, Seattle (ended Aug 31, 2006)							х						х	х	х			b, d
BW◉/ BZ◉	Beacon Hill, 15th S & Charlestown, Seattle SPECIATION SITE				•		•	х	х	•	•	•	•	х	•	•	•	•	b, d, f
CE	Duwamish, 4752 E Marginal Way S, Seattle SPECIATION SITE	х		х	х		•	•	•		х			х	•	•		•	a, e
CG●	Woodinville, 17401 133 rd Av NE, Woodinville							•						•					b ,d ,f
CW	James St & Central Ave, Kent	х		х	х		•	•						•	•	•		•	b, d
СХ	17711 Ballinger Way NE, Lake Forest Park (ended Jun 4, 1999)	х	х											х	х			х	b, d, f
CZ	Aquatic Center, 601 143 rd Ave NE, Bellevue (ended May 31, 2006)						х	х						х				х	b, f
DA	South Park, 8025 10 th Ave S, Seattle (ended Dec 31, 2002)	х			х			х						х	х			х	b, e, f

Station ID	Location	PM ₁₀ Ref	PM ₁₀ bam	PM ₁₀ Teom	PM _{2.5} ref	PM _{2.5} bam	PM _{2.5} teom	PM _{2.5} Is	PM _{2.5} bc	03	SO ₂	ΝΟγ	со	b _{sp}	Wind	Temp	AT	Vsby	Location
DB	17171 Bothell Way NE, Lake Forest Park	х	х		х		х	•	х					•	•	•		•	b, d, f
DC	305 Bellevue Way NE, Bellevue				х			•						•				•	a, d
DD	South Park, 8201 10 th Ave S, Seattle							•						•				•	b, e, f
DE®	City Hall, 15670 NE 85 th St, Redmond (ended Dec 14, 2005)				х			х						х				х	a, d
DF®	30525 SE Mud Mountain Road, Enumclaw				х			х		•				х	•	•		х	с
DG●	42404 SE North Bend Way, North Bend				х		х	•		•				•	•	•		•	c, d, f
DH®	2421 148 th Ave NE, Bellevue												•						b, d
DK©	43407 212 th Ave SE, 2 mi west of Enumclaw (ended Sep 6, 2006)														х	х			C
DLO	NE 8th St & 108th Ave NE, Bellevue (ended March 4, 2003)												х						a, d
DN©	20050 SE 56 th , Lake Sammamish State Park, Issaquah									•					х	х			b, d
DP©	504 Bellevue Way NE, Bellevue (ended Sep 30, 1999)	х			х														a, d
DZ℗	Georgetown, 6431 Corson Ave S, Seattle (ended August 31, 2002)											х	х		х				a, d, e, f
EA	Fire Station #12, 2316 E 11 th St, Tacoma (ended Dec 31, 2000)	х	х												х				a, e
EP	27th St NE & 54th Ave NE, Tacoma (ended Feb 29, 2000)	х									х				х				b, e, f
EQ	Tacoma Tideflats, 2301 Alexander Ave, Tacoma SPECIATION SITE	х	х	х	х		х	•	•		х			•	•	•		•	a, e
ER	South Hill, 9616 128 th St E, Puyallup	х	х		х	х		•	•					•	•	•		•	b, f
ES	7802 South L St, Tacoma SPECIATION SITE				•		•	•	•					•	•	•		•	b, f
FF®	Tacoma Indian Hill, 5225 Tower Drive NE, northeast Tacoma														•	•			b, f

Station ID	Location	PM ₁₀ Ref	PM ₁₀ bam	PM 10 Teom	PM _{2.5} ref	PM _{2.5} bam	PM _{2.5} teom	PM 2.5 Is	PM _{2.5} bc	O ₃	SO₂	ΝΟγ	со	b _{sp}	Wind	Temp	AT	Vsby	Location
FG®	Mt Rainier National Park, Jackson Visitor Center									•									с
FH®	Charles L Pack Forest, La Grande									•									c, f
FLO	1101 Pacific Ave, Tacoma (ended Jun 30, 2006)												х						a, d
ID	Hoyt Ave & 26th St, Everett (ended Feb 29, 2000)										x				х				a, e, d
IG	Marysville JHS, 1605 7 th St, Marysville SPECIATION SITE	х	х		•		•	•	•					•	•	•		•	b, d
IH	20935 59 th Place West, Lynnwood (ended Jun 8, 1999)	х		х										х	х			х	a, d
П	6120 212 th St SW, Lynnwood				х	х	•	•						•	•	•		•	b, d
JN©	5810 196 th Street, Lynwood (ended Jun 30, 2006)												х						a,d
JO	Darrington High School, Darrington 1085 Fir St				•		•	•	•					•	•	•		•	d, f
JP●	2939 Broadway Ave, Everett (ended March 31, 2003)												х						a, d
JQ⊚	44th Ave W & 196 th St SW, Lynnwood (ended May 3, 2004)												х						a, d
JS●	Broadway & Hewitt Ave, Everett (ended May 21, 2000)												х						a, d
QE	Meadowdale, 7252 Blackbird Dr NE, Bremerton	х				х	•	•						•	•	•		•	b, f
QF	Lions Park, 6th Ave NE & Fjord Dr, Poulsbo (ended Feb 29, 2000)														х				b, f
QG	Fire Station #51, 10955 Silverdale Way, Silverdale (ended September 4, 2008)					х		х						х	х	х		х	a, d
RV®	Yelm N Pacific Road, 931 Northern Pacific Rd SE, Yelm									•									c,f
UB●	71 E Campus Dr, Belfair (ended Sep 30, 2004)									х									с
VK®	Fire Station, 709 Mill Road SE, Yelm (ended Oct 2005)									х									c,f

۲	Station operated by Ecology	SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
RV®	Shading indicates station functioning	NOy	Nitrogen Oxides
•	Indicates parameter currently monitored	СО	Carbon Monoxide
х	Indicates parameter previously monitored	b _{sp}	Light scattering by atmospheric particles (nephelometer)
PM ₁₀ ref	Particulate matter <10 micrometers (reference)	Wind	Wind direction and speed
PM ₁₀ bam	Particulate matter <10 micrometers (beta attenuation continuous)	Тетр	Air temperature (relative humidity also measured at BW)
PM ₁₀ teom	Particulate matter <10 micrometers (teom continuous)	AT	Air Toxics
PM _{2.5} ref	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers (reference)	VSBY	Visual range (light scattering by atmospheric particles)
PM _{2.5} bam	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers (beta attenuation continuous)	рното	Visibility (camera)
PM _{2.5} teom	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers (teom-fdms continuous)	03	Ozone (May through September)
$PM_{2.5}$ ls	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers (light scattering nephelometer continuous)		
PM _{2.5} bc	Particulate matter <2.5 micrometers black carbon (light absorption aethalometer)		
Location			
а	Urban Center		
b	Suburban		
с	Rural		
d	Commercial		
е	Industrial		
f	Residential		

Burn Bans 1988 – 2012

- 1988 Jan 25 (0830) Jan 28 (0830) Feb 5 (1630) - Feb 6 (0930) Dec 1 (1430) - Dec 2 (0800) Dec 4 (1430) - Dec 5 (1400) Dec 16 (1430) - Dec 18 (1430)
- 1989 Jan 19 (1430) Jan 20 (1430)
 Jan 24 (1430) Jan 26 (0930)
 Feb 6 (1430) Feb 8 (0930)
 Feb 10 (1430) Feb 16 (0930)
 Nov 29 (1430) Dec 2 (0930)
 Dec 22 (1430) Dec 23 (1430)
- 1990 Jan 19 (1430) Jan 21 (1430) Dec 7 (1430) - Dec 8 (0930) Dec 25 (1430) - Dec 27 (0815)* *(Dec 26 1430 – Dec 27 0815) 2nd Stage
- 1991 Jan 5 (1430) Jan 6 (0930) Jan 21 (1430) - Jan 24 (1500)*
 (Jan 22 0930 - Jan 24 1500) 2nd Stage Jan 29 (1430) - Jan 31 (0830) Dec 15 (1430) - Dec 17 (1430)
 *(Dec 16 1430 - Dec 17 0930) 2nd Stage
- 1992 Jan 8 (1430) Jan 9 (0930) Jan 19 (1430) - Jan 20 (1430) Feb 5 (1000) - Feb 6 (1430) Nov 25 (1430) - Nov 26 (1430)
- 1993 Jan 11 (1430) Jan 13 (0830)
 Jan 15 (1430) Jan 16 (0700)
 Jan 17 (1430) Jan 19 (0600)
 Jan 31 (1430) Feb 3 (0830)
 Dec 20 (1430) Dec 21 (1430)
 Dec 26 (1430) Dec 29 (0830)
- 1994 None
- 1995 Jan 4 Jan 7
- 1996 Feb 14 (1430) Feb 16 (1630)
- 1997 Nov 13 (1500) Nov 15 (1500) Dec 4 (1500) - Dec 7 (1800)
- 1998 None

- 1999 Jan 5 (1400) - Jan 6 (1000) Dec 29 (1400) - Dec 31 (0600) 2000 Feb 18 (1400) - Feb 20 (1000) Nov 15 (1700) - Nov 23 (0600) 2001 Nov 8 (1400) - Nov 12 (1800) 2002 Nov 1 (1500) - Nov 6 (0900) Nov 27 (1000) - Dec 4 (1000) 2003 Jan 7 (1500) - Jan 9 (1300) 2004 None 2005 Feb 21 (1600) - Feb 28 (0800) Dec 9 (1700) - Dec 18 (1200) 2006 None 2007 Jan 13 (1400) - Jan 16 (1500) Jan 28 (1400) - Jan 31 (1400) Dec 9 (1400) - Dec 11 (0930) 2008 Jan 23 (1400) - Jan 26 (1200) 2009 Jan 16 (1200) - Jan 24 (1200) Feb 3 (1400) - Feb 6 (0900) Dec 8 (1000) - Dec 13 (1000) Dec 23 (1600) - Dec 30 (1200) 2010 Jan 28 (1200) - Jan 31 (1000) Dec 30 (1700) - 31 Dec (2400)* * continued to Jan 4 (1700) 2011 Jan 1 (0000) – Jan 4 (1700) Nov 30 (1700) - Dec 7 (1300) Dec 11 (1700) - Dec 14 (1600)
- 2012 Jan 11 (1600) Jan 14 (1000) Jan 27 (1200) – Jan 28 (1700) Feb 3 (1600) – Feb 6 (1600) Nov 25 (1300) – Nov 28 (0900) Dec 29 (1700) – Dec 31 (2400)* * continued to Jan 3 (1200)

PARTICULATE MATTER (PM_{2.5}) - Federal Reference Method

Micrograms per Cubic Meter

Reference Sampling Method: R&P Partisol 2025 Sampler – Teflon Filter

2012

Location	Number of	Quarte	erly Arith	metic Av	erages	Year Arith	98th	Max
	Values	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Mean	Percentile	Value
Darrington HS, 1085 Fir St, Darrington	27	8.9						32.3
Marysville JHS, 1605 7th St, Marysville	30	8.4						30.1
7802 South L St, Tacoma	357	8.3	5.0	7.9	9.0	7.6	27.7	43.5

Notes:

(1) Sampling occurs for a 24 hour period from midnight to midnight.

Quarterly averages are shown only if 75 percent or more of the data is available.

(2) Annual averages are shown only if there are at least three quarterly averages.

(3) Sampling ended at Darrington and Marysville on March 31st.

Summary of Maximum Observed Concentrations

Location	Jan 13	Jan 20
	Fri	Fri
Darrington HS, 1085 Fir St, Darrington	32.3	
Marysville JHS, 1605 7th St Marysville	30.1	
7802 South L St, Tacoma		43.5

- - Indicates no sample on specified day

Air Quality Index Summary

Location	Good	Moderate	Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups	Unhealthy
Darrington HS, 1085 Fir St, Darrington	24	3	0	0
Marysville JHS, 1605 7 th St, Marysville	26	4	0	0
7802 South L St, Tacoma	326	27	4	0

PARTICULATE MATTER (PM2.5) – Continuous -TEOM

Micrograms per Cubic Meter

Equivalent Sampling Methods: Mass Transducer R&P TEOM 1400ab-8500 FDMS – Teflon-coated Glass Fiber

2012

Location	Number of	Quarterly Arithmetic Averages				Year Arith	98th	Max
	Values	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Mean	Percentile	Value
Darrington HS, 1085 Fir St, Darrington	342	8.3	2.2	5.7	7.8	6.0	23.1	35.2
Marysville JHS, 1605 7th St, Marysville	357	7.9	5.5	8.4	8.1	7.5	22.8	64.7
6120 212th St SW, Lynnwood	321	5.4	4.3	5.2	4.9	4.9	14.8	34.2
Duwamish, 4752 E Marginal Way S, Seattle	360	8.7	8.4	11.6	10.5	9.8	20.8	28.5
James St & Central Ave, Kent	350	6.3	4.7	8.0	7.2	6.6	22.2	38.9
7802 South L St, Tacoma	359	8.5	4.9	7.6	8.8	7.4	25.9	43.3
Meadowdale, 7252 Blackbird Dr NE, Kitsap Co	120	7.0						22.4
Spruce, 3250 Spruce Ave, Bremerton	203			5.2				14.4

Notes

(1) Sampling occurs continuously for 24 hours each day.

Quarterly averages are shown only if 75 percent or more of the data is available.

(2) Annual averages are shown only if there are at least three quarterly averages.

(3) Sampling ended at Meadowdale April 30th.

(4) Sampling began at Bremerton Spruce May 1st.

Summary of Maximum Observed Concentrations

Location	Jan 1	Jan 20	Jul 4	Nov 11
	Sun	Fri	Wed	Sun
Darrington HS, 1085 Fir St, Darrington				35.2
Marysville JHS, 1605 7th St, Marysville			64.7	
6120 212th St SW, Lynnwood			34.2	
Duwamish, 4401 E Marginal Way S, Seattle		28.5		
James St & Central Ave, Kent		38.9		
7802 South L St, Tacoma		43.3		
Meadowdale, 7252 Blackbird Dr NE, Kitsap Co	22.4			
Spruce, 3250 Spruce Ave, Bremerton			14.4	

- - Indicates no sample on specified day

PARTICULATE MATTER (PM2.5) - Continuous - Nephelometer

Micrograms per Cubic Meter

Sampling Method: Equivalent – (R) Radiance Research M903 Nephelometer - (E) Ecotech Nephelometer

	20	012						
Location	Number of	Quarterly Arithmetic Averages			Year Arith	98th	Max	
	Values	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Mean	Percentile	Value
Darrington HS, 1085 Fir St, Darrington (R)	357	8.8	3.3	6.4	8.5	6.7	22.7	32.8
Marysville JHS, 1605 7th St, Marysville (R)	364	7.5	4.9	8.2	8.6	7.3	25.2	33.1
6120 212th St SW, Lynnwood (E)	365	5.8	3.9	6.1	6.4	5.6	16.8	27.9
17171 Bothell Way NE, Lake Forest Park (R)	363	6.8	4.6	7.7	8.2	6.8	20.8	34.9
Queen Anne Hill, 400 W Garfield St, Seattle (R,E)	362	5.3	4.6	6.8	6.2	5.7	14.7	21.8
Olive & Boren, Seattle (R)	355	5.7	4.9	7.2	6.5	6.1	14.2	23.5
Duwamish, 4752 E Marginal Way S, Seattle (E)	362	8.1	6.7	9.2	8.9	8.2	18.6	26.6
South Park, 8025 10 th Ave S, Seattle (R)	366	9.0	7.1	9.5	9.9	8.9	19.5	31.2
305 Bellevue Way NE, Bellevue (R)	365	4.9	3.9	5.7	4.7	4.8	13.3	18.1
42404 SE North Bend Way, North Bend (R)	360	3.9	4.4	9.8	5.2	5.8	21.2	37.0
James St & Central Ave, Kent (E)	358	7.2	5.4	8.7	8.2	7.4	20.8	33.0
Tacoma Tideflats, 2301 Alexander Ave, Tacoma (R)	350	7.4	5.4	9.0	8.6	7.6	24.1	52.9
7802 South L St, Tacoma (E)	352	7.9	4.2	7.9	9.6	7.4	26.2	39.5
South Hill, 9616 128 th St E, Puyallup (R,E)	364	7.9	4.2	8.1	7.6	6.9	23.8	52.4
Meadowdale, 7252 Blackbird Dr NE, Kitsap Co (E)	115	7.8						20.3
Spruce, 3250 Spruce Ave, Bremerton (E)	235			5.6	5.4			17.0

Notes: (1) Sampling occurs continuously for 24 hours each day. Quarterly averages are shown only if 75 percent or more of the data is available. (2) All data values are correlated using site-specific relationships with Federal Reference Method samplers.

Summary of Maximum Observed Concentrations

Jan 1 Sun	Jan 20 Fri	Jan 28 Sat	Sep 13 Thu	Oct 10 Wed	Oct 11 Thu	Nov 10 Sat	Nov 11 Sun	
							32.8	
						33.1		
						27.9		
						34.9		
				21.8				
					23.5			
	26.6							
		31.2						
		18.1						
			37.0					
		33.0						
	52.9							
		39.5						
	52.4							
20.3								
				17.0				
	Jan 1 Sun	Jan Jan 20 Sun Fri 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Jan Jan Jan 1 20 28 Sun Fri Sat Sun Fri Sat Sun - - Image: Sun state	Jan Jan Jan Sep 1 20 28 13 Sun Fri Sat Thu Sun - - - Image: Sun	Jan Jan Jan Sep Oct 1 20 28 13 10 Sun Fri Sat Thu Wed Image: Sun	Jan Jan Jan Sep Oct Oct 11 1 20 28 13 10 11 11 Sun Fri Sat Thu Wed Thu Image: Sun series of the series o	Jan Jan Jan Sep Oct Oct Nov 1 20 28 13 10 11 10 Sun Fri Sat Thu Wed Thu Sat Image: Sun Sep Sat Thu Wed Thu Sat Image: Sun Sep Image: Sat Thu Wed Thu Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat Image: Sat	

- - Indicates no sample on specified day

Parameter
Acceptable Pm2.5 Aqi & Speciation Mass
Aluminum Pm2.5 Lc
Ammonium Ion Pm2.5 Lc
Antimony Pm2.5 Lc
Arsenic Pm2.5 Lc
Barium Pm2.5 Lc
Bromine Pm2.5 Lc
Cadmium Pm2.5 Lc
Calcium Pm2.5 Lc
Cerium Pm2.5 Lc
Cesium Pm2.5 Lc
Chlorine Pm2.5 Lc
Chromium Pm2.5 Lc
Cobalt Pm2.5 Lc
Copper Pm2.5 Lc
Indium Pm2.5 Lc
Iron Pm2.5 Lc
Lead Pm2.5 Lc
Magnesium Pm2.5 Lc
Manganese Pm2.5 Lc
Nickel Pm2.5 Lc
Phosphorus Pm2.5 Lc
Potassium Ion Pm2.5 Lc
Potassium Pm2.5 Lc
Rubidium Pm2.5 Lc
Selenium Pm2.5 Lc
Silicon Pm2.5 Lc
Silver Pm2.5 Lc
Sodium Ion Pm2.5 Lc
Sodium Pm2.5 Lc
Strontium Pm2.5 Lc
Sulfate Pm2.5 Lc
Sulfur Pm2.5 Lc
Tin Pm2.5 Lc
Titanium Pm2.5 Lc
Total Nitrate Pm2.5 Lc
Vanadium Pm2.5 Lc
Zinc Pm2.5 Lc
Zirconium Pm2.5 Lc
Elemental Carbon TOR
Organic Carbon TOR
Total Carbonaceous Mass
Soil
Reconstructed Fine Mass - Urban PM2.5

PM_{2.5} Speciation Analytes Monitored in 2012 Average Annual Concentrations in Micrograms per Cubic Meter

Additional information can be obtained at: epa.gov/ttn/airs/aqsdatamart/

PM_{2.5} BLACK CARBON

Micrograms per Cubic Meter

Sampling Method: Light Absorption by Aethalometer

2012

Location	Number of	Quarte	erly Arith	erages	Annual	Max	
	Values	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Mean	Value
Marysville JHS, 1605 7th St, Marysville	343	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.7	3.4
Duwamish, 4401 E Marginal Way S, Seattle	362	1.3	0.9	1.2	1.5	1.2	5.3
James St & Central Ave, Kent	359	1.0	0.6	1.0	1.3	0.9	5.7
7802 South L St, Tacoma	359	0.9	0.4	0.6	1.0	0.7	5.0
Tacoma Tideflats, 2301 Alexander Ave, Tacoma	354	1.4	0.7	1.0	1.4	1.1	9.7
South Hill, 9616 128 th St E, Puyallup	358	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.7	5.8

Notes

(1) Sampling occurs continuously for 24 hours each day.

Quarterly averages are shown only if 75 % or more of the data is available.

(2) Annual averages are shown only if there are at least three quarterly averages.

Location	Jan 13 Fri	Jan 20 Fri
Marysville JHS, 1605 7th St, Marysville	3.4	
Duwamish, 4752 E Marginal Way S, Seattle		5.3
James St & Central Ave, Kent		5.7
7802 South L St, Tacoma	5.0	
Tacoma Tideflats, 2301 Alexander Ave, Tacoma		9.7
South Hill, 9616 128 th St E, Puyallup		5.8

Summary of Maximum Observed Concentrations

OZONE

(parts per million)

2012

Location / Continuous Sampling Period(s)	2012 Four H 8-Hour Con	lighest Daily centrations		Highest [r Concen	3-Year Average of 4 th Highest 8-Hour Concentration	
	Value	Date	2010	2011	2012	2010 – 2012
Beacon Hill, 15th S & Charlestown	.049	8 Jul				
Seattle, WA	.047	17 Aug				
1 Jan – 31 Dec	.046	15 May				
	.044	2 Apr	.043	.044	.044	.043
20050 SE 56 th	.067	16 Aug				
Lake Sammamish State Park, WA	.067	17 Aug				
1 May – 30 Sep	.061	5 Aug				
	.059	lut 8	.053	.054	.059	.055
42404 SE North Bend Way,	.079	17 Aug				
North Bend, WA	.068	5 Aug				
2 May – 30 Sep	.060	14 May				
· / · · · · ·	.058	16 Aug	.063	.052	.058	.057
30525 SE Mud Mountain Road,	.082	5 Aug				
Enumclaw, WA	.082	17 Aug				
2 May – 30 Sep	.073	4 Aug				
- / F	.071	16 Aug	.068	.059	.071	.066
931 Northern Pacific Rd SE,	.076	17 Aug				
Yelm, WA	.078	17 Aug 16 Aug				
1 May – 30 Sep	.061	13 May				
1	.061	lul 8	.054	.054	.061	.056

Notes

All ozone stations operated by the Washington State Department of Ecology.
 Ending times are reported in Pacific Standard Time.
 For equal concentration values the date and time refer to the earliest occurrences.
 Continuous sampling periods are those with fewer than 10 consecutive days of missing data.
 At all stations ozone was measured using the continuous ultraviolet photometric detection method.

REACTIVE NITROGEN

(Parts per Million)

2012

Monthly and Annual Arithmetic Averages

Monthly Arithmetic Averages								No of 1-Hour	Year Arith					
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Samples	Mean
Beacon Hill, 15th S & Charlestown, Seattle	.014	.014	.011	.011	.010	.009	.009	.012	.017	.013	.014	.011	8478	.012

Maximum and Second Highest Concentrations

Location / Continuous Sampling Periods(s)		1-Hour Average	
Location / continuous sampling renous(s)	Value	Date	End Time
Beacon Hill, 15th S & Charlestown, Seattle	.057	16 Aug	2400
1 Jan - 31 Dec	.054	17 Aug	0100

Notes

(1) Ending times are reported in Pacific Standard Time.

(2) For equal concentration values the date and time refer to the earliest occurrences.

(3) Continuous sampling periods are those with fewer than 10 consecutive days of missing data.

(4) At all stations nitrogen dioxide was measured using the continuous chemiluminescence method.

CARBON MONOXIDE

(parts per million)

2012

		Six High	nest Concent	Number of 8-Hour	Number of Days 8-Hour		
Location / Continuous Sampling Period(s)	11	Hour Averag	e	8 Hour Average		Averages	Averages
Continuous sampling Period(s)	Value	Date	End Time	Value	Date	Exceeding 9 ppm	Exceeded 9 ppm
	1.0	18 Sep	0700	0.7	18 Sep	0	0
	1.0	28 Sep	0600	0.7	28 Sep		
Beacon Hill, 15th S & Charlestown, Seattle	0.9	28 Sep	0700	0.7	28 Nov		
1 Jan – 8 Jan, 3 Apr – 31 Dec	0.9	15 Nov	2100	0.6	15 Nov		
	0.8	18 Sep	0800	0.6	16 Nov		
	0.8	27 Nov	1000	0.6	27 Nov		

Notes

(1) All carbon monoxide stations operated by the Washington State Department of Ecology.

(2) Ending times are reported in Pacific Standard Time.

(3) For equal concentration values the date and time refer to the earliest occurrences.

(4) Continuous sampling periods are those with fewer than 10 consecutive days of missing data.

(5) At all stations carbon monoxide was measured using the continuous nondispersive infrared method.

SULFUR DIOXIDE

(parts per million)

2012

Monthly and Annual Arithmetic Averages

Location	Monthly Arithmetic Averages					No of 1-Hour	Year Arith							
Location	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Samples	Mean
Beacon Hill, 15th S & Charlestown, Seattle	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.002	.001	.002	.001	.001	.001	8293	.001

Maximum and Second Highest Concentrations for Various Averaging Periods

Location /	11	Hour Averag	24 Hour Average		
Continuous Sampling Period(s)	Value	Date	End Time	Value	Date
Beacon Hill, 15th S & Charlestown, Seattle	.030	19 Jul	2200	.006	17 Aug
1 Jan – 31 Dec	.020	16 Aug	2300	.006	16 Aug

Notes

(1) Ending times are reported in Pacific Standard Time.

(2) For equal concentration values the date and time refer to the earliest occurrences.

(3) Continuous sampling periods are those with fewer than 10 consecutive days of missing data.

(4) Sulfur dioxide was measured using the continuous ultraviolet fluorescence method.

	1,3-Butadiene	Acetaldehyde	Acrolein	Benzene	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Dichloromethane	Ethylbenzene	Formaldehyde	Tetrachloroethylene
2012 Count	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
NDs (reported as 0)	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3
Median (ppb)	0.030	0.379	0.247	0.160	0.112	0.022	0.218	0.054	0.370	0.013
Mean (ppb)	0.038	0.409	0.304	0.183	0.111	0.023	0.346	0.064	0.432	0.015
95%tile (ppb)	0.101	0.764	0.661	0.363	0.130	0.037	1.11	0.128	0.82	0.031
Max (ppb)	0.119	1.73	1.020	0.460	0.138	0.054	1.8	0.239	2.17	0.049
MDL (ppb)	0.007	0.008	0.050	0.029	0.024	0.009	0.010	0.017	0.012	0.018
# Below MDL	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	50
% Below MDL	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	3%	0%	83%

2012 Beacon Hill Air Toxics Statistical Summary for Air Toxic Gases (units in parts per billion)

2012 Beacon Hill Air Toxics Statistical Summary for PM₁₀ Metals (units in nanograms per cubic meter)

	Arsenic	Cadmium	Cr+6 TSP	Manganese	Naphthalene	Nickel
2012 Count	58	58	59	58	59	58
NDs (reported as 0)	0	0	7	0	0	0
Median (ng/m3)	0.512	0.076	0.025	4.895	61.8	1.47
Mean (ng/m3)	0.670	0.087	0.031	9.418	70.42	2.71
95%tile (ng/m3)	1.557	0.164	0.074	34.65	150.40	7.74
Max (ng/m3)	2.02	0.223	0.166	45.0	233	14.30
MDL (ng/m3)	0.058	0.021	0.004	0.208	0.112	0.506
# Below MDL	0	0	7	0	0	9
% Below MDL	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	15%

Toxics in gray are over 50% below the method detection limit. ND's were replaced with 1/2 the method detection limit.

Estimates of Air Toxics Risk 2012 Air Toxics Unit Risk Factors

Potential cancer risk is estimated by multiplying the concentration of a pollutant by its unit risk factor (URF), a constant that takes into account its cancer potency. This is shown in the equation below:

Potential cancer risk = ambient concentration ($\mu g/m^3$) * unit risk factor (risk/ $\mu g/m^3$)

Unit risk factors are often based on epidemiological studies (studies of diseases occurring in human populations) and are also extrapolated from laboratory animal studies. Unit risk factors are typically based on an assumed 70-year (lifetime) exposure interval and are available from multiple sources. Cancer risk was estimated using unit risk factors from the Washington State Acceptable Source Impact Levels (ASIL).¹ The two sources for the ASIL include EPA's Integrated Risk Information System² (IRIS) as well as California EPA's Office of Environmental Health and Hazard Assessment³ (OEHHA).⁴ Both of these sources are based on peer-reviewed literature and extensive review. We present potential cancer risk estimates based on the Washington ASIL values (listed below). The cancer rating refers to its "weight of evidence" ranking: A = known carcinogen, B1 = probable carcinogen, based on incomplete human data, and B2 = probable carcinogen, based on adequate animal data.⁵

AIR TOXIC	WA ASIL 460 UNIT RISK FACTOR RISK/µg/m ³	CANCER RATING ⁶
Formaldehyde	6.0E-06	B1
Benzene	2.9E-05	A
Carbon Tetrachloride	4.2E-05	B2
Chromium (Hexavalent) (M)	1.5E-01	А
Chloroform	2.3E-05	B2
Arsenic (M)	3.3E-03	А
1,3-Butadiene	1.7E-04	А
Acetaldehyde	2.7E-06	B2
Nickel (Subsulfide) (M)	2.4E-04	А
Tetrachloroethylene	7.4E-06	B2
Cadmium (M)	4.2E-03	B1
Lead (M)	1.2E-05	B2
Dichloromethane	1.0E-06	B2
Naphthalene	3.4E-05	С

2012 Air Toxics Unit Risk Factors

¹Washington State Administrative Code. <u>apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-460-150</u>.

²Integrated Risk Information System, EPA; <u>epa.gov/iris/</u>.

³California EPA, Consolidated Table of OEHHA/ARB-Approved Risk Assessment Health Values, June 25, 2008; <u>arb.ca.gov/toxics/healthval/healthval.htm</u>.

⁴For details on the ASIL, see: ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/wac173460_400/February/ASIL_20list_20pollutants2-8-08-5pm1.pdf.

⁵International Agency for Research on Cancer; <u>http://monographs.iarc.fr/</u>.

⁶Ratings per 1986 EPA guidelines.

2012 Beacon Hill Potential Cancer Risk Estimates per 1,000,000 – 95th Percentile percentage of samples greater than cancer screen value

Air Toxic	Rank	RISK based on 95th Percentile Concentrations Washington ASIL	% of samples > ASIL screen
1,3-Butadiene	1	38	97%
Carbon Tetrachloride	2	34	100%
Benzene	3	34	100%
Chromium Vi(Tsp)	4	11	88%
Formaldehyde	5	6	98%
Arsenic Pm10 Stp	6	5	90%
Chloroform	7	4	93%
Dichloromethane	8	4	30%
Nickel Pm10 Stp	9	4	36%
Acetaldehyde	10	4	87%
Ethylene Dichloride	11	2	93%
Tetrachloroethylene	12	1	10%
Ethylbenzene	13	1	12%

Shaded air toxics have >50% of samples with estimated concentrations (values below the reported laboratory detection limit). Screening value used is concentration equivalent to an estimated one-in-a-million potential cancer risk.

Air toxic	Non Cancer RfC (ug/m3)	Mean Hazard Index
Acrolein	0.35	1.991
Formaldehyde	9	0.059
Manganese Pm10 Stp	0.09	0.105
Arsenic Pm10 Stp	0.015	0.045
Nickel Pm10 Stp	0.05	0.054
Carbon Tetrachloride	40	0.017
Benzene	60	0.010
Dichloromethane	400	0.003
Acetaldehyde	140	0.005
Cadmium Pm10 Stp	0.02	0.004
1,3-Butadiene	20	0.004
Tetrachloroethylene	35	0.003
Beryllium Pm10 Stp	0.007	0.000
Chloroform	300	< 0.001
Chromium VI Tsp	0.2	< 0.001
Trichloroethylene	600	< 0.001

Non-cancer Reference Concentrations (RfC) and Hazard Indices >1

Reference concentrations are based on chronic values from California Air Resources Board (OEHHA). Mean hazard index is based on HQ=1, HI = mean concentration/reference concentration. Acrolein is the only air toxic that fails the screen with a hazard index greater than 1.

AIR QUALITY STANDARDS AND HEALTH GOALS

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

The <u>Clean Air Act</u>, which was last amended in 1990, requires EPA to set <u>National Ambient Air Quality</u> <u>Standards</u> (40 CFR part 50) for pollutants considered harmful to public health and the environment. The Clean Air Act identifies two types of national ambient air quality standards. *Primary standards* provide public health protection, including protecting the health of "sensitive" populations such as asthmatics, children, and the elderly. *Secondary standards* provide public welfare protection, including protection against decreased visibility and damage to animals, crops, vegetation, and buildings.

EPA has set National Ambient Air Quality Standards for six principal pollutants, called "criteria" pollutants (listed below). Units of measure for the standards are parts per million (ppm) by volume, parts per billion (ppb) by volume, and micrograms per cubic meter of air (μ g/m³).

EPA is required to re-visit and update standards every five years, to incorporate the latest health and welfare information.

The state of Washington and the Puget Sound region have adopted these standards. For more information, EPA air quality standards and supporting rationale are available at <u>epa.gov/air/criteria.html</u>. Washington State air quality regulations are available at <u>ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/ecywac.html#air</u>.⁷ The air quality standards that apply to the Puget Sound air shed are summarized below.

⁷Washington Administrative Code chapters 173-470, 173-474, and 173-475.

Puget Sound Region Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants for 2012

Pollutant [final rule cite]		Primary/ Secondary	Averaging Time	Level	Form		
Carbon Monoxide		- 73	8-hour	9 ppm	Not to be exceeded more than once per		
[76 FR 54294, Au	<u>q 31, 2011]</u>	primary	1 <mark>-hou</mark> r	35 ppm	year		
<u>Lead</u> [73 FR 66964, Nov 12, 2008]		primary and secondary	Rolling 3 month average	0.15 µg/m ^{3 <u>(1)</u>}	Not to be exceeded		
Nitrogen Dioxide		primary	1-hour	100 ppb	98th percentile, averaged over 3 years		
75 FR 6474, Feb 61 FR 52852, Oc	the second s	primary and secondary	Annual	53 ppb (2)	Annual Mean		
<u>Ozone</u> [73 FR 16436, Mar 27, 2008]		primary and secondary	8-hour	0.075 ppm (3)	Annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hr concentration, averaged over 3 years		
		primary	Annual	12 µg/m ³	annual mean, averaged over 3 years		
	PM _{2.5}	secondary	Annual	15 µg/m ³	annual mean, averaged over 3 years		
Particle Pollution Dec 14, 2012		primary and secondary	24-hour	35 µg/m³	98th percentile, averaged over 3 years		
	PM ₁₀	primary and secondary	24-hour	150 µg/m ³	Not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years		
<u>Sulfur Dioxide</u> [<u>75 FR 35520, Jun 22, 2010]</u> [38 FR 25678, Sept 14, 1973]		primary	1-hour	75 ppb ⁽⁴⁾	99th percentile of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over 3 years		
		secondary	3-hour	0.5 ppm	Not to be exceeded more than once per year		

as of October 2011

(1) Final rule signed October 15, 2008. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 µg/m3 as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1978, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.

(2) The official level of the annual NO2 standard is 0.053 ppm, equal to 53 ppb, which is shown here for the purpose of clearer comparison to the 1-hour standard.

(3) Final rule signed March 12, 2008. The 1997 ozone standard (0.08 ppm, annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour concentration, averaged over 3 years) and related implementation rules remain in place. In 1997, EPA revoked the 1-hour ozone standard (0.12 ppm, not to be exceeded more than once per year) in all areas, although some areas have continued obligations under that standard ("anti-backsliding"). The 1-hour ozone standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with maximum hourly average concentrations above 0.12 ppm is less than or equal to 1.

(4) Final rule signed June 2, 2010. The 1971 annual and 24-hour SO2 standards were revoked in that same rulemaking. However, these standards remain in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except in areas designated nonattainment for the 1971 standards, where the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standard are approved.

Pollutants typically have multiple standards with different averaging times; for example, daily and annual standards. Multiple standards are created and enforced to address health impacts as a result of a shorter, high-level exposure versus longer, low-level exposures. These differences are addressed pollutant-by-pollutant in the following sections. Additional information is on EPA's website at <u>epa.gov/air/criteria.html</u>.

The Agency has developed an air quality health goal for daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. The Agency convened a Particulate Matter Health Committee, comprised of local health professionals, who examined the fine particulate health research.⁸ The Health Committee did not consider the federal standard at the time to be protective of human health. In 1999, the Agency adopted a health goal of $25 \mu g/m^3$ for a daily average,

⁸Puget Sound Clean Air Agency. Final Report of the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency PM_{2.5} Stakeholder Group; pscleanair.org/news/library/reports/pm2_5_report.pdf.

more protective than the current federal standard of $35 \mu g/m^3$. This level is consistent with the American Lung Association's goal and the EPA Clean Air Science Advisory Committee's recommended lower range for the EPA's 2006 ambient air quality standard revision.^{9,10} The Agency did not adopt a separate health goal for the annual average.

 ⁹American Lung Association; <u>lungusa.org/assets/documents/publications/state-of-the-air/state-of-the-air-report-2006.pdf</u>.
 ¹⁰EPA Clean Air Science Advisory Committee (CASAC) Particulate Matter (PM) Review Panel; epa.gov/sab/panels/casacpmpanel.html.